

JONES & MAYER

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

3777 NORTH HARBOR BOULEVARD • FULLERTON, CALIFORNIA 92835 (714) 446-1400 • (562) 697-1751 • FAX (714) 446-1448

Richard D. Jones*
Partners
Martin J. Mayer
Kimberly Hall Barlow
James R. Touchstone

Richard L. Adams II Jamaar Boyd-Weatherby Baron J. Bettenhausen Christian L. Bettenhausen Paul R. Coble

Michael Q. Do Thomas P. Duarte Elena Q. Gerli Katherine M. Hardy Krista MacNevin Jee Rvan R. Jones Robert Khuu Gary S. Kranker Christopher F. Neumeyer Kathya M. Oliva Gregory P. Palmer Danny L. Peelman Harold W. Potter Denise L. Rocawich Yolanda M. Summerhill Ivy M. Tsai

*a Professional Law Corporation

Of Counsel Michael R. Capizzi Dean J. Pucci Steven N. Skolnik Consultant Mervin D. Feinstein

July 16, 2012

<u>SENT VIA PERSONAL DELIVERY</u>

Smita Deshpande Branch Chief - Caltrans District 12 2201 Dupont Drive, Suite 200 Irvine, CA 92612

RE:

City of Costa Mesa's Comments to Draft I-405 Improvement Project
Environmental Impact Report/Environmental Impact Statement (EIR/EIS)
pursuant to the 14 California Code of Regulations Section 15087.

Dear Ms. Deshpande:

I. INTRODUCTION

Pursuant to 14 California Code of Regulations Section 15087, the City of Costa Mesa ("Costa Mesa") is providing its comments to the State of California Department of Transportation ("Caltrans") Draft I-405 Draft Environmental Impact Report/Environmental Impact Statement ("EIR/EIS") for the I-405 Improvement Project ("Project"). Costa Mesa has taken the public comment period to review the project summary in conjunction with the studies, findings and conclusions for this Project. (Exhibits B-N; Documents in support of Costa Mesa's Findings.) During Costa Mesa's review process, a number of concerns were raised including a number of factual errors, a deferral of specific analysis of impacts, and inadequate mitigation measures.

Costa Mesa's review and findings discussed below demonstrate that the environmental benefits of Alternative 2 far outweigh Alternative 3 consistent with the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA"). Based upon Costa Mesa's review and findings, with regard to significant

July 16, 2012 City of Costa Mesa Comments to I-405 Draft EIR/EIS Page 2 of 11

deficiencies in the EIR/EIS, Costa Mesa believes that the environmental document fails to provide comprehensive and reasoned analysis and therefore should be recirculated to add significant new information to resolve these deficiencies. (Pub. Res. Code § 21092.1; 14 Cal. Code Regs. § 15088.5)

II. PROJECT SUMMARY

The draft Environmental Impact Report/Environmental Impact Statement (EIR/EIS) prepared for the I-405 Improvement Project was released for public review and comment on May 18, 2012. The I-405 Improvement project draft EIR/EIS evaluated three different alternatives for widening the I-405 Freeway between the SR-73 and I-605 Freeways. The alternatives included:

Alternative 1 – Add one lane in each direction on I-405 Freeway between Euclid Street and I-605 Freeway;

Alternative 2 — Add two lanes in each direction on I-405 Freeway between Euclid Street and I-605 Freeway; and

Alternative 3 — Add one lane in each direction on I-405 Freeway between Euclid Street and I-605 Freeway and add tolled express lanes on I-405 Freeway between SR-73 and I-605 Freeways. This will result in conversion of existing High Occupancy Vehicle (HOV) lane to express lane and addition of a second express lane. In addition, the project includes direct HOV lane to express lane connectors between SR-73 and I-405 Freeways.

III. ABSENCE OF INDEPENDENT REVIEW

First, Costa Mesa is concerned whether Caltrans, as the lead agency, has met its obligation under 14 California Code of Regulations Section_15084(e) to *independently review* and analyze the EIR, and circulate a draft EIR that reflects its *independent judgment*. Costa Mesa recently became aware that Caltrans seeks approval of Alternative 3 in spite of environmental impacts that favor Alternative 2. This is based on the information that the Regional Transportation Plan adopted in April 2012 preordains Alternative 3. (Exhibit A; Regional Transportation Plan 2012-2035 Table.) Costa Mesa again points out that the environmental review process is intended to seek the public's input and the environmental effects *prior* to approval of a project. However, Exhibit A suggests that the proclivity towards Alternative 3 has already been made in violation of CEQA.

IV. LACK OF ADEQUATE DETAIL AND DEFERRAL OF SPECIFIC ANALYSIS

The EIR/EIS omits analysis of a number of impacts that should be addressed. Although Caltrans is authorized to defer certain impacts, an analysis of impacts that are reasonably

July 16, 2012 City of Costa Mesa Comments to I-405 Draft EIR/EIS Page 3 of 11

foreseeable is required. Vineyard Area Citizens for Responsible Growth v. City of Rancho Cordova, (2007) 40 Cal. 4th 412, 53 Cal. Rptr. 821; Stanislaus Natural Heritage Project v. County of Stanislaus, (1996) 48 Cal. App. 4th 182, 55 Cal. Rptr.2d 625. However, the EIR/EIS defers analysis of a number of environmental impacts set forth below that are well within its purview at this juncture in the environmental process.

Page 3.1.6-104: The impacts of construction are not identified in the draft EIR/EIS as it is deferred to final design phase. Costa Mesa's residents have endured approximately 10 years of construction due to various projects on the I-405 including the SR-55/I-405 Transitway Project, I-405/SR-73 Confluence Project, Susan Street Offramp, I-405/Fairview Road Interchange and Harbor Boulevard/I-405 Improvement project. Alternative 3 will result in significant impacts that would exceed those experienced by all of the previous projects. This is due to the need for the demolition and reconstruction of the Fairview Road Bridge and the Harbor Boulevard southbound loop onramp.

Page 3.1.6-106: The EIR/EIS does not address impacts from ramp closures. In addition to the removal and reconstruction of key interchanges, several area ramps are identified for closure ranging from 10 to 30 days. In addition to the absence of impact analysis regarding ramp closures, Costa Mesa is concerned about these closures and requires that any traffic management plan address the City Fairgrounds and holiday moratorium for closures.

Page 3.1.6-107: The project defers traffic management plan to the final design phase. Costa Mesa is concerned about the decision on the preferred alternative being without the benefit of this analysis that would provide further information on the potential impacts during construction.

Alternative 3 Layouts: Based on review of Alternative 3 Layout Plans, there is no information on the toll gantry structure location. It is anticipated that one such structure would be located in the vicinity of the SR-73 Freeway. The visual impacts associated with this structure to the adjacent area residents should be documented as part of the draft EIR/EIS.

Page 3.2.7-43, NOI-1: The EIR/EIS defers the "final decision on whether and how to construct noise abatement ... upon completion of the project design."

With regard to interior noise levels of residential uses, the EIR/EIS does not address the ventilation standards as set forth in Chapter 3, Section R303, of the 2010 Residential Building Code (CRC). Specifically, it is anticipated that impacted residences may have all windows closed to lessen the freeway noise. However, the EIR/EIS should include analysis and identification of mitigation measures — other than those related to exterior

sound walls - to address appropriate mechanical ventilation for habitable rooms with all windows closed.

The EIR/EIS does not analyze from a noise and air quality standpoint, the location or relocation of mechanical and gravity outdoor air intake openings for impacted residences. The EIR should not defer analysis or mitigation of noise impacts of interior residential structures. An assessment of the nature of these impacts on sensitive uses and the success of proposed mitigation measures is needed.

Page 3.2.7-31 provides discussion of sound wall S614A and S614B for the area south of Bristol Street to Euclid Street for one residence. However, for Alternative 3, the EIR/EIS does not provide analysis regarding impacts to sensitive uses, including other impacted residences, by street address. Additionally, given the extent of the environmental impacts of Alternative 3 in Costa Mesa, it should be considered that all noise mitigation measures should not be categorized as "not reasonable" due to a formulaic consideration of the acoustic benefit to the construction cost. For example, Sound wall S614A or S614B should be implemented to offset the noise impacts to this residence regardless of the construction cost.

Noise Mitigation NOI-2 and NOI-3 for short-term construction noise: These noise mitigation measures are considered inadequate to address the significant noise impacts experienced by sensitive receptors. Costa Mesa suggests the following additional mitigation measure for short-term construction impacts:

A minimum of 120 days prior to the commencement of any construction activity, a construction noise mitigation plan shall be approved by the City of Costa Mesa. This plan shall contain information such as:

- Name & Contact Information of Responsible Party
- Address of impacted sensitive receptors
- Timeline for Demolition Construction Work, Excavation Construction Work, Foundation Construction Work, Other Construction Work
- 24-hour construction hotline for complaints
- Location and Specifications for Temporary/Moveable Sound Barriers during construction.

This Construction Noise Mitigation Plan shall be mailed to property owners of sensitive land uses a minimum of 60 days prior to construction.

Table 3, Noise Abatement Information: This table identifies noise barriers that are within the vicinity of sensitive uses, such as single-family residential, multi-family residential, a motel, and schools. This table indicates "N/A" under the column of number of benefitted

July 16, 2012 City of Costa Mesa Comments to I-405 Draft EIR/EIS Page 5 of 11

residences although there are sensitive uses within the noise impact zone. Additional clarification or analysis is needed. Where residential areas are impacted, the street addresses of the residences should be referenced in technical studies.

The SR-73 Confluence Project's EIR addressed noise impacts during the environmental review process. The noise impacts of SR-73 should be included in Caltrans analysis of noise impacts.

Toll Lane Conversion: Since this is an interstate freeway system that is owned and controlled by the federal government, the opinion of the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) on the conversion of existing free High Occupancy Vehicle (HOV). There is no provision of the replacement capacity for the loss of the free HOV lane.

As demonstrated by the aforementioned comments, the EIR/EIS ignores a number of crucial impacts that Caltrans is required to address. Under Public Resources Code Section 21092(b), the EIR/EIS should include some degree of forecasting in evaluating a project's environmental impacts. 14 Cal. Code of Regs. Section 15144. San Francisco Ecology Ctr. v. City & County of San Francisco, (1975) 48 Cal. App. 3d 584, 595; 122 Cal. Rptr. 100. However, deferring an analysis of these impacts not only portends that the environmental impacts do not exist but also ignores a thoughtful process as to how those negative impacts can and should be mitigated.

V. INACCURATE DATA

Further, under 14 Cal. Code Regs. Section 15151, the EIR/EIS must be prepared with a sufficient degree of analysis to provide decision-makers with the information needed to make an intelligent decision concerning a project's environmental consequences. However, the EIR/EIS relies upon inaccurate data in a number of areas that exaggerates Alternative 3 benefit as the best option. These factual inaccuracies include, but are not necessarily limited to, the following:

Table 3.1.6-2: The draft EIR/EIS projected a growth in traffic of over 25% over the next 10 years. This growth is overstates and does not reflect the patterns that were observed over the past several years. The projected growth in traffic over the next 30 years is approximately 42% and is also high, given the level of build out in the study area.

Page 3.1.6-2: The EIR/EIS states that the study area was divided into three segments, "based on similarity of lane cross-section." One of the segments is SR-73 to Brookhurst Street. However, this segment has two distinct characteristics. The area within Costa Mesa's jurisdiction has seven or eight travel lanes in each direction,

July 16, 2012 City of Costa Mesa Comments to I-405 Draft EIR/EIS Page 6 of 11

whereas the area to the north of Euclid Street has five lanes in each direction. This results in misrepresentation of level of impacts within Costa Mesa limits.

Table 3.1.6-14: The number of lanes shown for the SR-73 to Brookhurst Street segment does not reflect actual number of existing travel lanes within Costa Mesa. The southbound direction has seven mixed-flow lanes and a HOV lane and the northbound direction has seven mixed-flow lanes from SR-73 to north of Fairview Road bridge and six mixed-flow lanes from north of Fairview Road to the Santa Ana River, in addition to HOV lane. The assumption for throughput is not explained in the study. A value of 1,200 vehicles per hour was used for calculation of throughput, without any clear basis provided for using this assumption. Based on existing counts provided, the freeway carried well in excess of 12,000 vehicles per hour during the peak hours. However, the throughput provided for Costa Mesa section for existing and Alternatives 1 and 2 are only 8,400 vehicles per hour. The throughput value seems to be significantly understated in the analysis.

Page 3.1.6-21: In Paragraph 3, existing volume-to-capacity (v/c) ratios are provided as a range for the entire freeway segment. However, the Costa Mesa portion of the I-405 Freeway has significantly better v/c ratios. During the AM peak hour, existing v/c ratio range in Costa Mesa is 0.81 to 1.09 and during the PM peak hour, the v/c ratio range is 0.78 to 0.94. This is due to completion of significant freeway widening over the past 10 years to meet existing and future demands within the Costa Mesa. The High Occupancy Vehicle (HOV) lanes also have better v/c ratios within Costa Mesa compared to the rest of the corridor.

Page 3.1.6-39: A single forecast was developed for all three alternatives. The alternatives are quite different in the level of improvement, and utilizing a single forecast will not represent true conditions. In Alternative 1, there may be additional impacts to arterial intersections when compared to Alternatives 2 and 3. These will not be reflected in the analysis as conducted. This will short-change the mitigations for arterials and intersections if Alternative 1 was selected as the preferred alternative. Table 3.1.6-2 provides traffic volumes for various alternatives that show higher volumes for Alternative 3 than other alternatives. This should be carried through in the analysis as well.

Page 3.1.6-40: No Build Alternative's volume-to-capacity ("v/c") ratios for 2020 and 2040 are provided as a range for the entire freeway segment. However, the Costa Mesa portion of the I-405 Freeway has significantly better v/c ratios. This is due to completion of significant freeway widening over the past 10 years to meet existing and future demands within Costa Mesa.

July 16, 2012 City of Costa Mesa Comments to I-405 Draft EIR/EIS Page 7 of 11

Page 3.1.6-81: Alternative 1's v/c ratios for 2020 and 2040 are provided as a range for the entire freeway segment. However, the Costa Mesa portion of the I-405 Freeway has significantly better v/c ratios. This is due to completion of significant freeway widening over the past 10 years to meet existing and future demands within Costa Mesa.

Page 3.1.6-92: Alternative 2's v/c ratios for 2020 and 2040 are provided as a range for the entire freeway segment. However, the Costa Mesa portion of the I-405 Freeway has significantly better v/c ratios. This is due to completion of significant freeway widening over the past 10 years to meet existing and future demands within Costa Mesa.

Page 3.1.6-99: Alternative 3's v/c ratios for 2020 and 2040 are provided as a range for the entire freeway segment. However, the Costa Mesa portion of the I-405 Freeway has significantly better v/c ratios. This is due to completion of significant freeway widening over the past 10 years to meet existing and future demands within Costa Mesa.

Page 3.1.6-101: In the 3rd paragraph, there is discussion of SR-73/I-405 northbound merge. This interchange was constructed in early 2000s as part of the I-405/SR-73 Confluence Project at a total cost of approximately \$50,000,000. Three lanes from SR-73 join four lanes on I-405 in the northbound direction. The third lane of SR-73 drops approximately 2,200 feet north of the merger of the two freeways. With the proposed Alternative 3, the three lanes of SR-73 are reduced to two lanes. This will create increased density on the ramp and at the merge point and would result in significant traffic issues. The statement that the reduction in lanes will remove bottleneck is not justified. If there is a bottleneck, there should be a recommendation to increase the merge length as part of Alternative 2.

As demonstrated by the aforementioned comments, the EIR/EIS relies upon a number of inaccuracies that inflate the environmental benefit of Alternative 3.

VI. INSUFFICIENT OR ALTOGETHER ABSENT MITIGATION MEASURES

14 Cal. Code of Regs. Section 15126.4(a) requires lead agencies to consider feasible mitigation measures to avoid or substantially reduce a project's significant environmental impacts. However, in a number of areas, the mitigation measures proposed in the EIR/EIS are insufficient. To demonstrate:

The EIR should give full consideration to interior noise levels of sensitive uses and appropriate abatement of any noise impacts to achieve minimally acceptable interior noise

July 16, 2012 City of Costa Mesa Comments to I-405 Draft EIR/EIS Page 8 of 11

standards. Other practical measures may include: mechanical ventilation systems, triple-paned glass windows, etc.

Nevada Avenue Impacts: The proposed direct connector from eastbound Ellis Avenue to southbound I-405 Freeway requires widening of the freeway adjacent to Moon Park and several residences along Nevada Avenue. Under the existing conditions, there is a dirt slope with a 12-foot sound wall, located approximately 25' from the backyard of residences. This will be replaced by a retaining wall of up to 16' foot and a 12' sound wall, located approximately 6' from the backyard of residences. This will create significant noise, air quality and visual impacts to these properties. Several solutions should be considered to address this issue including deviating from standard lane and shoulder widths, high-density vegetation such as planting of mature trees between the retaining wall and residences and also relinquishing excess portion of right-of-way to residences.

Noise Mitigation NOI-2 and NOI-3 for short-term construction noise: These noise mitigation measures are considered inadequate to address the significant noise impacts experienced by sensitive receptors. Costa Mesa suggests the following additional mitigation measures:

While the precise details of the sound wall design may not be known until project design, the EIR/EIS should meaningfully analyze and identify the specific noise mitigation measures at the time the project is approved and not defer "whether and how" noise mitigation may or may not occur at the future date.

Additional comments that require Caltrans review and response are the following:

Appendix F and Page 3.2.7-3 refers to the Noise Study Report (NSR) (June 2011) and the Final Noise Abatement Decision Report (NADR) (September 2011). Other than table and figure excerpts, these reports are not included in Appendix N, Noise, of the EIR/EIS. It should be clear as to where the complete reports can be found.

VII. PROJECT FAILS TO CONFORM TO THE COSTA MESA GENERAL PLAN

The EIR/EIS violates the City of Costa Mesa General Plan in a number of areas set forth below. These issues require further mitigation measures in order to ensure conformity with Costa Mesa's General Plan.

Page 3.2.7-2, Table 3.2.7-1, Noise Abatement Criteria describes NAC, Hourly A-Weighted Noise Level, dbA Leq(h): The levels described in this table for residences are shown as 67 Exterior and 52 Interior. These levels differ from the noise levels shown in the Noise

July 16, 2012 City of Costa Mesa Comments to I-405 Draft EIR/EIS Page 9 of 11

Element of the City's General Plan. The purpose of the Noise Element is to limit the exposure of the community to excessive noise levels. The EIR/EIS should address any discrepancies with the City of Costa Mesa's Noise Element and provide appropriate noise mitigation measures to the City's adopted interior and exterior noise levels for sensitive uses.

Page 3.2.7-43: This indicates that "All noise abatement options were considered; however, because of the constrained configuration and suburban location of the proposed project, construction of noise barriers are the only abatement measure considered practical." The City's General indicates that it is the goal of the City of Costa Mesa to protect its citizens and property from injury, damage, or destruction from noise hazards and to work towards improved noise abatement. This goal includes protection of exterior and interior areas of sensitive uses.

Additionally, where noise barriers may have been identified, the EIR indicates that they may be dismissed due to cost/benefit determinations. General Plan Policy N-1A.4 "encourages Caltrans to construct noise attenuation barriers along State freeways and highways adjoining residential and other noise sensitive areas." General Plan Policy N-1A.3 requests that "appropriate site design measures are incorporated into residential developments, when required by an acoustical study, to obtain appropriate exterior and interior noise levels." The EIR/EIS should address conformance with the Costa Mesa General Plan.

VIII. ATERNATIVE 2 IS ENVIRONMENTALLY SUPERIOR TO ALTERNATIVE 3

According to 14 Cal. Code of Regs. Section 15126.6(c), the evidence supporting Caltrans' decision should be included in the administrative record. However, the number of factual errors and deferred impact analysis that Caltrans relies upon in supporting Alternatives 3 violates Section 15126.6(c). Furthermore, the EIR/EIS impact findings for Alternative 2 and Alternative 3 are comparable in a number of areas such that once the factual errors and deferred impact analysis are accounted for, Alternative 2 is in effect the best alternative under CEQA.

The areas in which the EIR/EIS are comparable or more favorable to Alternative 2 include, but at not limited to:

Figure 3.1.6-3: Alternative 3 results in the loss of a mixed flow lane on northbound I-405 Freeway between Fairview Road and Harbor Boulevard. This will result in increased congestion in this segment of the freeway.

¹ Costa Mesa's review of the EIR/EIS finds that Alternative 1 should not be given further consideration given its environmental impacts.

July 16, 2012 City of Costa Mesa Comments to I-405 Draft EIR/EIS Page 10 of 11

Table 3.1.6-6: This table provides a speed index summary including average speeds over the I-405 corridor for various alternatives. The table indicates that the overall speeds in mixed-flow lanes are either better or very similar for Alternative 2 compared to Alternative 3. Given that over 80% of traffic is in the mixed-flow lanes, Alternative 2 provides the greatest benefit to most of the users of the corridor.

Table 3.1.6-7: This table provides travel times and confirms the earlier discussion on better speeds in mixed-flow lanes for Alternative 2. As an example, the travel times for southbound I-405 are approximately 15%better for Alternative 2 than Alternative 3 during the AM peak hour in year 2040.

Page 3.1.6-27: A comparison of vehicle hours of delay is shown for No Build and project alternatives. Alternatives 2 and 3 result in 84% and 86% reduction in vehicle hours of travel, respectively, compared to No Build Alternative. Therefore, there is no significant change in level of performance between these two alternatives.

The EIR/EIS indicates that 'the termini have been logically chosen based on geography and transportation needs to ensure adequate response to transportation deficiencies at and around these points of intersection." Based on this premise, additional comparative analysis should be given between the different alternatives. Specifically, Alternative 3 would be the environmentally weakest option compared to the Alternatives 1 and 2 due to the greater extent of impacted areas.

Page 3.1.6-98: Paragraph 1 provides information on access to the proposed Express Lanes in Alternative 3. Access is provided at SR-73, Magnolia Street/Warner Avenue, Bolsa Avenue/Goldenwest Street, SR-22 and I-605. Traffic from Costa Mesa entering at Fairview Road and Harbor Boulevard, two major gateways to/from Costa Mesa, will not have access to the Express Lanes for several miles. Thus, traffic is in the congested five mixed-flow lanes that are narrowed from the seven-lane section within Costa Mesa.

IX. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, CEQA requires that a feasible alternative is capable of being accomplished in a successful manner within a reasonable period of time, taking into account economic, environmental, legal, social, and technological factors. The EIR/EIS does not meaningfully address the adverse social and economic impacts to Costa Mesa with regard to Alternative 3, given an unprecedented proposal to locate toll roads on a state highway and given the proximity to residential uses, the significant short-term and long-term impacts to the Costa Mesa's major employment and commercial centers.

July 16, 2012 City of Costa Mesa Comments to I-405 Draft EIR/EIS Page 11 of 11

The factual errors, a deferral of specific analysis of impacts, and inadequate mitigation measures are so extensive that the EIR/EIS should be recirculate with these issues addressed. Once recirculation is completed with accurate information and proper analysis, the City is confident that the environmental benefits of Alternative 2 far outweigh Alternative 3 subject to inclusion of appropriate mitigation measures proposed by Costa Mesa.

Sincerely,

Thomas P. Duarte City Attorney

City of Costa Mesa

Cc: Costa Mesa City Council

Costa Mesa Planning Commission

Tom Hatch, Chief Executive Officer

Peter Naghavi, Deputy CEO/Director of Economic and Dev. Services

Claire Flynn, Deputy Director of Development Services

Ernesto Munoz, Public Services Director

Raja Sethuraman, Transportation Services Manager

EXHIBIT LIST

TO CITY OF COSTA MESA—COMMENTS REGARDING DRAFT I-405 IMPROVEMENT PROJECT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT/ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

EXHIBIT A	REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION PLAN 2012-2035 TABLE
EXHIBIT B	LETTER FROM COSTA MESA CITY COUNCIL MAYOR ERIC
	BEVER TO OCTA BOARD MEMBER PAUL GLAAB DATED MAY
	30, 2012
EXHIBIT C	COSTA MESA CITY COUNCIL RESOLUTION NO. 12-40
EXHIBIT D	EMAILS FROM DPEDRN@GMAIL.COM, GQUINN2@CC.RR.COM,
	FREDDYPEREZ2011@YAHOO.COM
	JOELMAGGIE@SBCGLOBAL.NET, 3DOUGHTY@CC.RR.COM,
ļ	TRISHCDC@GMAIL.COM, KATHLEENRAMP@YAHOO.COM, &
·	TENNISHERITAGE@AOL.COM TO CITY OF COSTA MESA
	DATED MAY 5, 2012
EXHIBIT E	EMAILS FROM SLUCY61@AOL.COM,
	PAMELITAROSE@SBCGLOBAL.NET, JMS92648@CA.RR.COM,
	ERIKANDSUSYB@YAHOO.COM,
	UNITEDNEIGHBORS@CMPREPARED.COM TO CITY OF COSTA
	MESA DATED MAY 6, 2012
EXHIBIT F	EMAILS FROM HILLASSOCIATES@ATT.NET &
	FLOMAMA@AOL.COM TO CITY OF COSTA MESA DATED MAY
	7, 2012
EXHIBIT G	EMAIL FROM PAULANNEKELLY@CA.RR.COM TO CITY OF
	COSTA MESA DATED JUNE 17, 2012
EXHIBIT H	LETTER FROM GARY PEACOCK TO PETER NAGHAVI DATED
	OCTOBER 19, 2010
EXHIBIT I	EMAIL FROM PETER NAGHAVI TO JACKNPEG91@CA.RR.COM
	DATED NOVEMBER 22, 2010; CALCS1224@GMAIL.COM DATED
	NOVEMBER 15, 2010; RSCHOWE173@AOL.COM DATED
	NOVEMBER 14, 2010; BEANCOUNTER-1@HOTMAIL.COM
	DATED OCTOBER 27, 2010; & STEVEM@IIS1.NET DATED
	OCTOBER 28, 2010.
EXHIBIT J	EMAIL FROM MLBRUM@ATT.NET TO RAJA SETHURAMAN
	DATED OCTOBER 22, 2010
EXHIBIT K	OPPOSITION SIGNATURES FROM CORINNE, LOUISE STOVER,
	FRED MERKLE AND JULIE ANN MERKLE
EXHIBIT L	HALECREST/HALL OF FAME RESIDENT PETITION DATED
	FEBRUARY 6, 2011
EXHIBIT M	CD OF CITY COUNCIL MEETING

EXHIBIT N LETTER FROM ANDRYA N. POWERS DATED JULY 16,2012



County	System	Lead Agency	CILATE	9	Bogin PM End PM	End PM	Route Number	Route Name	From	1 2	Doscription
Orange	State Highway	CALTRANS	2M0728				405	1405	S,	SR-55	Add 1 MF lane each direction from 1-5 to SR-55 and improve marging
Orange	State Highway	ORANGE COUNTY STRANS AUTHORITY (OCTA)	ORAD30605			ATTACH SEMENT OF SEA	405	405	SR 55	1 605	1-405 FROM SR 73 TO 1-605. IN EACH DIRECTION, ADD 1 MF LANE. CONVERT EXISTING HOV TO HOT, ADD 1 ADDITIONAL HOT LANE, AND ADOITIONAL CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS
Orange	State Highway	CALTRANS	2M04131				405	1-405 NB	leffrey	Culver	Acc auxilary lane
Orange	State Highway	ORANGE COUNTY TRANSAUTHORITY (OCTA)	ORA100507	ORAJ00507	10.2	24.1	504	germaniga (Maria de La Albanda) en Maria de Albanda) en Maria de Albanda (Maria de Albanda) en Maria de Albanda			CONSTRUCT FOURTH NB THROUGH LANE ON BEACH BLVD AT THE I 405 INTERCHANGLAND REMOYE OFF. RAMP ON 1.405 AT BEACH (NORTH EAST CORNER OF BEACH/CONGER), CO LEAD WITH WESTMINSTER
20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 2	State Highway	ORANGE COUNTY TRANSAUTHORITY (OCTA)	ORA100507	OR&300507	10.2	24.1	405	ann gaine gaine ann an Air Chair Chair			construct fourth nb through lane on beach blud at the 1 40s interchange and remove off-ramp on 1.40s at beach (north east corner of beach/ednger), co lead with Westminster
Para Para Para Para Para Para Para Para	State Highway	THE STATE OF THE S	ORA000193	ORA000154		rt H	405	TO A GUTCH . MANNEY			HOY connectors from 1-405 to 1-605, between Katella Avc. (1-605 PM. ROOL.104) and Scal Beach Blvd. (1-405 PM 022.643), with a second HOY lare in each direction on 1-405 botween the two direct correctors
Orange	State Highway	ORANGE COUNTY TRANS AUTHORITY (OCTA)	ORA030605	ORAGBOEDS	10.3	24.1	405				I 405 FROM SR 73 TO I 605 ADD I MF LANE EACH DIR AND PROVIDE ADDITIONAL CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS. #317. Combined with ORAO45, ORAISI and ORAIZO310

ADOPTED APRIL 2012

Roadway Segment: Existing Lanes	Roadway Roadway Segment: Segment Existing Lanes Proposed Lanes	Transit Segment: Route	Additional Details	RTP Baseline	2008	2012	2014	2008 2012 2014 2018 2020 2023 2090	020	2023	2030	2035
			Existing Conflig 4 to 6 lanes each direction			easter #7.00			ru i Yanda Y	×		
						OBSCHALOSTON			WALLED WILLIAM AND	×		
			Existing Config. 4 lanes						×			
	anning of the same		•	×	a wasan kadan a alam ushari d	*		******	NACARCHI 🖛 URAN INGONIA			
	are assenced to be a core			×		×			ACOMITEC TOWN PART, THE		*************	
_			-	San Action Control of the Control of		W. ACCORD. STOCKING. B	×		es mainten este este este este este este este		****	
13	**************************************					OR ASSAULT OF THE			ent Actornal Time	×		
					•				•			

RECEIVED



CITY OF COSTA MESA

CALIFORNIA 92628-1200

P.O. BOX 1200

JUN 07 2012

FROM THE OFFICE OF THE MAYOR

PUBLIC SERVICES

May 30, 2012

Mr. Paul Glaab, Chairman, Board of Directors Members of Board of Directors Orange County Transportation Authority P.O. Box 14184 Orange, CA 92863-1584

SUBJECT: I-405 IMPROVEMENT PROJECT

Dear Chairman Glaab and Honorable Board Members:

The City of Costa Mesa wishes to thank Orange County Transportation Authority (OCTA) staff, including Chief Executive Officer Will Kempton, for the informative presentation on the I-405 Improvement Project alternatives at the City Council Study Session on May 8, 2012. The City appreciates OCTA's efforts in planning and coordinating transportation improvements throughout Orange County and implementing transportation solutions that are vital to the long-term needs of residents and visitors of the Orange County area.

As home to nationally renowned retail centers, which are major tax generators in Orange County, the City of Costa Mesa is very keen and forward-looking in assuring that adequate transportation infrastructure, and appropriate access to all venues is in place. Accordingly, the City has extensively participated with OCTA on a significant number of projects, including the I-405/SR-55 Transitway, and I-405/SR-73 Confluence projects. Over the past several years, the City has also worked with OCTA on the I-405 Major Investment Study (MIS) and subsequent phases of this project.

In February 2006, at the conclusion of the I-405 MIS, the recommended study alternatives included the addition of either one or two General Purpose lanes on the I-405 in each direction north of the Santa Ana River. Given that the freeway widening and ultimate improvements within the City's section of I-405 have been completed, the selected improvement options were well received by the Costa Mesa community and we were looking forward to the completion of the I-405 Improvement Project. However, the later addition of Alternative 3, primarily as a financial mechanism for the I-405 improvements, is of utmost concern to our community.

This new Alternative 3 will impact the City of Costa Mesa in many ways, as listed below:

- Alternative 3 proposes a new direct High Occupancy Vehicle (HOV) connector from the SR-73 to the I-405, which will result in the widening of the freeway footprint between the SR-73 and the Santa Ana River:
- As the Costa Mesa segment of the I-405 between the SR-73 to the Santa Ana River has already been improved to its ultimate configuration using approximately \$50m federal dollars, the new project offers no mixed-flow lanes added within Costa Mesa's City limits. In fact, between the SR-73 and north of Fairview Road, Alternative 3 results in the loss of one mixedflow lane in the northbound direction;

Mr. Glaab and Honorable Board Members Page Two May 30, 2012

- The City recently implemented ultimate improvements to the Fairview Road/I-405 Interchange and the Harbor Boulevard/I-405 Interchange, with adequate capacity to handle the projected buildout of North Costa Mesa. The total cost of these improvements was approximately \$11 million and the residents endured approximately 18 months of construction for these projects.
- The Fairview Road bridge over the I-405 Freeway and the Harbor Boulevard onramp to the southbound I-405 Freeway will need to be completely demolished and reconstructed as a result of Alternative 3, resulting in major impacts to the City's circulation system; and
- Implementation of Alternative 3 will result in major construction impacts to the neighborhoods for approximately 54 months. These residents have already endured several years of construction with the Transitway and Confluence projects, as well as the recent widening of the Fairview Road/I-405 Interchange and the Harbor Boulevard/I-405 Interchange reconstruction.
- The residents of the City, visitors to our major shopping centers and art venues, will have to pay to use the proposed High Occupancy Toll (HOT) lanes compared with the existing free HOV lanes.

As OCTA staff observed at the City Council Study Session of May 8, 2012, a large number of residents spoke against the project and submitted letters, e-mails, and petitions expressing their opposition to Alternative 3. This opposition is due to the many reasons mentioned above, as well as the lack of benefit to community and area residents.

While a formal position on the I-405 alternatives was not taken by the City Council at this meeting, several members have expressed their significant concern with the proposed Alternative 3. The City Council, as well as staff, believes that all long-term, planned improvements on the I-405 Freeway within the Costa Mesa City limits have already been implemented with the completion of the Transitway and Confluence projects and the focus on further improvements to the I-405 Freeway should be north of the Santa Ana River. Accordingly, the community would like to see a change in direction with regards to the proposed project.

As always, the City looks forward to working with OCTA on potential solutions to regional transportation issues. If you have any questions, please contact Ernesto Munoz, Public Services Director, at (714) 754-5343.

Sincerely,

Eric R. Bever

Mayor

c Costa Mesa City Council

✓ Costa Mesa Planning Commission

Will Kempton, CEO, OCTA

Tom Hatch, Chief Executive Officer

Ernesto Munoz, Public Services Director

Raja Sethuraman, Transportation Services Manager

RESOLUTION NO. 12-40

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY CALIFORNIA, OF COSTA MESA. SUPPORTING ALTERNATIVES AND AND 1 2 **OPPOSING** ALTERNATIVE 3 IN THE SAN DIEGO FREEWAY (I-405) IMPROVEMENT PROJECT DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL **IMPACT** REPORT/ENVIRONMENTAL **IMPACT** STATEMENT (EIR/EIS).

THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF COSTA MESA HEREBY DETERMINES AND RESOLVES AS FOLLOWS:

WHEREAS, the City of Costa Mesa in cooperation with the Orange County Transportation Authority (OCTA) and the State of California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) implemented regional improvements and freeway widening within the City of Costa Mesa limits to meet existing and future transportation needs at a cost of over \$100 million between SR-55 Freeway and Santa Ana River; and

WHEREAS, OCTA was the lead agency in the preparation of Major Investment Study (MIS) for the I-405 Freeway improvements between SR-73 Freeway and I-605 Freeway that resulted in recommendation of Alternatives 1 and 2, adding either one lane or two lanes in each direction to the I-405 Freeway between Euclid Street and I-405 Freeway, as locally preferred alternatives; and

WHEREAS, in January 2009 a new Alternative 3 was added to the I-405 Improvement Project during the Environmental Impact Report/Environmental Impact Statement (EIR/EIS) phase of the I-405 Improvement Project that extends the project widening and construction to SR-73 Freeway; and

WHEREAS, Alternative 3 includes construction of a new express lane connector between the SR-73 and I-405 Freeways and addition of two express lanes within the City of Costa Mesa limits; and

WHEREAS, Alternative 3 requires the complete demolition and reconstruction of the Fairview Road/I-405 Interchange that was upgraded by the City of Costa Mesa three years ago at a cost of approximately \$7 million, and the removal and reconstruction of southbound Harbor Boulevard to southbound I-405 Freeway onramp; and

WHEREAS, the City of Costa Mesa residents have endured several years of construction with the SR-55/I-405 Transitway and I-405/SR-73 Confluence projects; and

WHEREAS, Alternative 3 will widen the freeway footprint within the City of Costa Mesa limits impacting adjacent residential neighborhoods during construction as well as post-construction; and

WHEREAS, Alternative 3 will result in conversion of existing free High Occupancy Vehicle lane to a toll facility;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT the City Council of the City of Costa Mesa affirms its opposition to Alternative 3 in the I-405 Improvement Project Draft EIR/EIS;

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the City of Costa Mesa supports Alternative 2 in the I-405 Improvement Project Draft EIR/EIS;

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the City of Costa Mesa invites OCTA to work collaboratively with the City on potential options.

PASSED AND ADOPTED this 19th day of June, 2012.

Eric R. Bever, Mayor

ATTEST:

Brenda Green, Interim City Clerk APPROVED AS TO FORM:

Thomas Duarte, City Attorney

STATE OF CALIFORNIA)	
COUNTY OF ORANGE)	SS
CITY OF COSTA MESA	j	

I, BRENDA GREEN, Interim City Clerk of the City of Costa Mesa, DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the above and foregoing is the original of Resolution No. 12-40 and was duly passed and adopted by the City Council of the City of Costa Mesa at a regular meeting held on the 19th day of June, 2012, by the following roll call vote, to wit:

AYES:

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

BEVER, RIGHEIMER, LEECE, MENSINGER,

MONAHAN

NOES:

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

NONE

ABSENT:

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

NONE

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereby set my hand and affixed the seal of the City of Costa Mesa this $20^{\rm th}$ day of June, 2012.

BRENDA GREEN, ()
INTERIM CITY CLERK

(SEAL)

From:

HATCH, THOMAS

Sent:

To:

Monday, May 07, 2012 8:15 AM MUNOZ, ERNESTO; SETHURAMAN, RAJA

Subject:

FW: 405 Widening

From: DPEDRN [mailto:dpedrn@gmail.com] Sent: Saturday, May 05, 2012 12:09 PM

To: HATCH, THOMAS Subject: 405 Widening

Please don't allow Costa Mesa to suffer from widening the freeway, which isn't needed, and destroying a new (Fairview) bridge just to help generate building funds and grants. The work isn't needed, the cost to the community is great, and the responsibility for generating funds for work elsewhere (and job security, perhaps) should lie with those areas that might benefit, rather than those, like Costa Mesa, that will suffer with little or no benefit.

Thanks,

Dennis Popp

2078 Goldeneye, Costa Mesa

714.758.5251

From:

HATCH, THOMAS

Sent: To: Monday, May 07, 2012 8:15 AM

Subject:

MUNOŽ, ERNESTO; SETHURAMAN, RAJA FW: "NO 405 WIDENING" PLEASE!!!!!!!!!!!

From: Georgette Quinn [mailto:gquinn2@ca.rr.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 05, 2012 12:11 PM

To: HATCH, THOMAS

Subject: "NO 405 WIDENING" PLEASE!!!!!!!!!!

Georgette M. Quinn OHST

President OCASSE 2011-2012

1750 Whittier Ave

Costa Mesa, CA 92627

SETHURAMAN, RAJA

From:

HATCH, THOMAS

Sent:

Monday, May 07, 2012 8:15 AM

To:

MUNOZ, ERNESTO; SETHURAMAN, RAJA

Subject:

FW: NO 405 WIDENING

----Original Message-----

From: Freddy Perez [mailto:freddyperez2011@yahoo.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 05, 2012 1:59 PM

To: HATCH, THOMAS

Subject: NO 405 WIDENING

I vehemently disapprove of option 3 proposed by OCTA. Insufficient traffic assessment has been done in regards to its ramifications. I say NO 405 option 3!!!

Frederick Perez

Sent from my iPhone

From:

HATCH, THOMAS

Sent:

Monday, May 07, 2012 8:14 AM

To:

MUNOZ, ERNESTO; SETHURAMAN, RAJA

Subject:

FW: no 405 widening

----Original Message----

From: john doughty [mailto:3doughty@ca.rr.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 05, 2012 3:33 PM

To: HATCH, THOMAS Subject: no 405 widening

no 405 widening, no alternative 3 John M. Doughty 1364 Garlingford St. Costa Mesa, CA 92626

SETHURAMAN, RAJA

From:

HATCH, THOMAS

Sent:

Monday, May 07, 2012 8:14 AM

To:

MUNOZ, ERNESTO; SETHURAMAN, RAJA

Subject:

FW: No 405 widening

From: Patricia Camacho [mailto:trishcdc@gmail.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 05, 2012 4:05 PM

To: HATCH, THOMAS Subject: No 405 widening

We are against this, Alternative 3 and the Fairview overpass bridge and the HOT lanes.

sincerely,

Dr. & Mrs. Hilario Camacho

Costa Mesa residents 1782 Kenwood Place

411 Gloucester Drive

Costa Mesa 92627

SETHURAMAN	I, RAJA
From: Sent: To: Subject:	HATCH, THOMAS Monday, May 07, 2012 8:14 AM MUNOZ, ERNESTO; SETHURAMAN, RAJA FW: NO 405 WIDENING
We oppose Alter	native 3 of the OCTA's freeway construction project because:
- It is woefully un shortfalls	nderfunded with a shortfall of \$1.1 billion, with future speculative toll feeswitness the 73 toll
- I eliminates the	carpool lane near Costa Mesa
- It involves exte	nsive years-long construction, meaning traffic delays and pollution for Costa Mesa
- It demolishes th	ne recently rebuilt Fairview bridge, with the accompanying dislocation of traffic patterns.
Sincerely,	
Kathleen and Lar	ry Ramp

From:

HATCH, THOMAS

Sent:

Monday, May 07, 2012 8:14 AM

To:

MUNOZ, ERNESTO; SETHURAMAN, RAJA

Subject:

FW: 405 widening

From: tennisheritage@aol.com [mailto:tennisheritage@aol.com]

Sent: Saturday, May 05, 2012 10:53 PM

To: HATCH, THOMAS Subject: 405 widening

There is no need to widen the 405. It will create more financial problems since the estimates are never correct. California is without such projects deep enough in the red and our taxes are already the highest in the Nation. Anything the Government touches is a lost cause. Let private investors get involved if they see they can make it work and they might

Unfortunately I cannot attend the meeting on Tuesday. Rolf Jaeger, 2048 Phalarope Court, Costa Mesa.

Thanks for sharing my view on this project.

SETHURAMAN, RAJA

From:

HATCH, THOMAS

Sent:

Monday, May 07, 2012 8:13 AM

To:

MUNOZ, ERNESTO; SETHURAMAN, RAJA

Subject:

FW: [BULK]

Importance:

Low

----Original Message----

From: Debbie Serrano [mailto:Slucy61@aol.com]

Sent: Sunday, May 06, 2012 5:27 AM

To: HATCH, THOMAS Subject: [BULK] Importance: Low

Dear Mr. Hatch,

No Widening of the 405 at fairview. Our city is a cut through for Huntington Beach and Fountain Valley.

I live in the Halecrest homes and the noise is already extreme with the past widening. The dirt and dust from the freeways are unhealthy for our City.

Stop the madness no widening of the 405 at fairview.

Thank you, Debbie Serrano Slucy61@aol.com

SETHURAMAN, RAJA

From:

HATCH, THOMAS

Sent:

Monday, May 07, 2012 8:13 AM

To:

MUNOZ, ERNESTO; SETHURAMAN, RAJA

Subject:

FW: no widening of the 405

----Original Message----

From: Debbie Serrano [mailto:Slucy61@aol.com]

Sent: Sunday, May 06, 2012 5:30 AM

To: HATCH, THOMAS

Subject: no widening of the 405

No widening of 405 at Fairview

Debbie Serrano 3023 Warren Costa Mesa Slucy61@aol.com

SETHURAMAN, RAJA

From:

HATCH, THOMAS

Sent:

Monday, May 07, 2012 8:13 AM

To:

MUNOZ, ERNESTO; SETHURAMAN, RAJA

Subject:

FW: "Build Alternative 3"

----Original Message----

From: Pamela Maddox [mailto:pamelitarose@sbcglobal.net]

Sent: Sunday, May 06, 2012 7:55 AM

To: HATCH, THOMAS Subject: "Build Alternative 3"

My view is "NO 405 WIDENING"

Please share this at council mtg.

Thank you,

Pamela Maddox 3105 Loren Lane Costa Mesa, CA 92626

Pamela Maddox Metamorfosi Design 949.422.0266

Sent from my iPhone

SETHURAMAN, RAJA

From:

HATCH, THOMAS

Sent:

Monday, May 07, 2012 8:13 AM

To:

MUNOZ, ERNESTO; SETHURAMAN, RAJA

Subject:

FW: NO 405 WIDENING

From: Mark Sachs [mailto:jms92648@ca.rr.com]

Sent: Sunday, May 06, 2012 9:18 AM

To: HATCH, THOMAS

Subject: NO 405 WIDENING

Mr. Hatch,

A simple message NO 405 WIDENING!

Mark Sachs

2713 Sandpiper Dr.

Costa Mesa

From:

HATCH, THOMAS

Sent:

Monday, May 07, 2012 8:13 AM

To:

MUNOZ, ERNESTO; SETHURAMAN, RAJA

Subject:

FW: NO 405 WIDENING

From: Erik and Susy Brommers [mailto:erikandsusyb@yahoo.com]

Sent: Sunday, May 06, 2012 7:44 PM

To: HATCH, THOMAS

Subject: NO 405 WIDENING

Alternative 3 will NOT be beneficial to Costa Mesa. It is also a WASTE of taxpayer money.

Susana Brommers, homeowner

1218 Dorset Ln

Costa Mesa

SETHURAMAN, RAJA

From:

HATCH, THOMAS

Sent:

To:

Monday, May 07, 2012 8:13 AM MUNOZ, ERNESTO; SETHURAMAN, RAJA

Subject:

FW: 405 Fwy. Project

Attachments:

image002.gif

From: Diane [mailto:unitedneighbors@cmprepared.com]

Sent: Sunday, May 06, 2012 9:20 PM

To: HATCH, THOMAS Subject: 405 Fwy. Project

From:

HATCH, THOMAS

Sent:

Monday, May 07, 2012 8:15 AM

To:

MUNOZ, ERNESTO; SETHURAMAN, RAJA

Subject:

FW: I405 project

From: Paul [mailto:hillassociates@att.net] Sent: Monday, May 07, 2012 8:14 AM

To: HATCH, THOMAS

Cc: eric.beaver@costamesaca.gov

Subject: I405 project

No 405 widening in CM is needed or desired.

Paul Hill

1288 Londonderry St

Costa Mesa, CA 92626-2664

SETHURAMAN, RAJA

From:

HATCH, THOMAS

Sent:

Monday, May 07, 2012 8:14 AM

To:

MUNOZ, ERNESTO; SETHURAMAN, RAJA

Subject:

FW: no 405 widening!!

From: Maggie LeFave [mailto:joelmaggie@sbcglobal.net]

Sent: Saturday, May 05, 2012 3:27 PM

To: HATCH, THOMAS Subject: no 405 widening!!

Diane Hill

1288 Londonderry St.

Costa Mesa

Description: Description: Description: Description: image001

"Neighbors Helping Neighbors"

Diane Hill: (714) 546-4252 Voice (714) 540-1288 FAX

unitedneighbors@cmprepared.com; United Neighbors Website

SETHURAMAN, RAJA

From:

HATCH, THOMAS

Sent:

Monday, May 07, 2012 8:12 AM

To: Subject: MUNOZ, ERNESTO; SETHURAMAN, RAJA FW: I oppose the reconfiguration of the 405/73 freeways.

From: Flo Martin [mailto:flomama@aol.com] Sent: Monday, May 07, 2012 12:38 AM

To: HATCH, THOMAS

Subject: I oppose the reconfiguration of the 405/73 freeways.

As a long-resident of Costa Mesa, I oppose any reconfiguration of the 405/73 freeways' interchange. Enough is enough. We need to work to improve rapid transit, not freeways.

Flo Martin

Live today as if it were the first day of your life and also your last.

CORDON, CHRISTINE

From:

SHELTON, KELLY

Sent:

Monday, June 18, 2012 8:39 AM

To:

CITY COUNCIL

Cc:

GREEN, BRENDA; CORDON, CHRISTINE

Subject:

FW: I 405 improvement project

Importance: High

From: paulannekelly@ca.rr.com [mailto:paulannekelly@ca.rr.com]

Sent: Sunday, June 17, 2012 2:26 PM

To: op2council

Subject: I 405 improvement project

Importance: High

Dear Cost Mesa City Council Members;

I am very concerned about the plans to "improve the traffic flow" in the I 405 corridor between the I 605 and SR 73. I attended the Caltrans presentation about this project on June 4th at OCC. Although the current traffic situation and the projected situation for a few years down the road indicates that something should be done to improve the traffic flow-through, I believe that the third alternative that is being proposed would be very bad for the City of Costa Mesa.

First of all, it strikes me as being terribly wasteful to destroy the newly improved Fairview Ave. overpass bridge which cost us about \$7,000,000 only 3 years ago.

Secondly, the use of HOV/"Express Lanes", rather than diamond lanes seems to me to be gimmick to generate revenue to off-set the large cost of this alternative, rather than a means of increase traffic through-put. The designs for the entrance and exit locations for these lanes make them almost inaccessible for Costa Mesans. People using these lanes would have a difficult time to exit them so as to shop in either South Coast Plaza or downtown Costa Mesa.

Therefore, I strongly recommend that you write a resolution to Caltrans and OCTA expressing the city's strong objection to alternative # 3

Respectfully Submitted,

Paul D. Kelly (resident of Costa Mesa for 39 years)

2736 Mendoza Dr., Costa Mesa, CA 92626

OCT 26 2010



Gary R. Peacock, CPA

PUBLIC SERVICES

3063 Johnson Ave.
Costa Mesa, CA 92626-2818
Phone (714) 545-8661
Email: peacockgr@aol.com

October 19, 2010

Mr. Peter Naghavi, Director Department of Public Services City of Costa Mesa P.O. Box 1200 Costa Mesa, CA 92628-1200

Re: Expansion of 405 Freeway through Costa Mesa

Dear Mr. Naghavi:

Thanks to you and Mr. Raja Sethuraman for both taking your personal time to brief the Directors and other members of Mesa North Community Association yesterday evening on the 405 Freeway Expansion project.

I am sure every one using this stretch of freeway would be in favor of improvements that eliminate to traffic compression caused by the lane reductions between the interception of the 6C5 Freeway and Harbor Blvd. I recall that when I lived in Laguna Beach some years ago, I would often avoid that stretch by taking Pacific Coast Highway to avoid the 405 congestion. Now that said, the widening will, no doubt, attract more traffic on the 405.

Since the added lanes would bring traffic closer to the sound walls, is it practical to raise their height to deflect the inevitable exhaust fumes from the traffic? If there are other ways to reduce the toxic emissions on the residents, I think that would be an important consideration. After all, public health is a consequence that has ethical and financial costs to the public.

Thank you for your consideration of my concerns.

Very truly yours,

Gary Peacock

Cc: Colin McCarthy
President, MNCA

From:

NAGHAVI, PETER

Sent:

Monday, November 22, 2010 8:24 AM

To:

'jacknpeg91@ca.rr.com'

Cc:

ROEDEŘ, ALLAN; SETHURAMAN, RAJA

Subject:

RE: 405 Expansion Project

Dear John and Margaret Higgins:

Thank you very much for your email and input with regards to proposed I-405 widening project. While these alternatives are currently under review, no specific alternative has been selected as yet. Like you, many other local residents have voiced their concerns with one or more alternatives. Costa Mesa staff will collect all local concerns and will submit them to OCTA for their information and record. Thanks again for caring about our community.

Peter Naghavi, Director Dept. of Public Services City of Costa Mesa, CA

----Original Message----

From: jacknpeg91@ca.rr.com [mailto:jacknpeg91@ca.rr.com]

Sent: Saturday, November 20, 2010 2:20 PM

To: NAGHAVI, PETER

Subject: 405 Expansion Project

Dear Mr Naghavi,

I write to protest Alternative 3 of the 405 Freeway expansion plan. This plan has a detrimental impact on Costa Mesa not only through significant inconvience to local citizens but also the potential for negative financial impact to the City. The communities south of the 405 from Euclid to Bristol would experience increased freeway noise as the lanes would be pushed closer to the sound walls and neighborhoods (e.g., Gisler park sound wall). This plan would also make it more difficult to travel locally and would not make for convenient exiting of potential customers passing through the City.

This proposed I-405 widening project provides insignificant and minimal benefit to Costa Mesa residents and I encourage you and our City Council to reject it.

Thank you, John & Margaret Higgins Costa Mesa Residents since 1991

From: NAGHAVI, PETER

Sent: Monday, November 15, 2010 8:33 AM

To: SETHURAMAN, RAJA

Subject: FW: Proposed I-405 HOT plans

Peter Naghavi, Director Dept. of Public Services City of Costa Mesa, CA

From: The Stovers [mailto:calcs1224@gmail.com] **Sent:** Monday, November 15, 2010 8:04 AM

To: NAGHAVI, PETER

Subject: Proposed I-405 HOT plans

The plans to add HOT lanes to access Toll-73 from and to I-405 are examples of wasteful spending, and not compatible for the community with elevated ramping. (Work completed at the Fairview bridge across I-405 caused traffic slowdowns far too long.)

Using private financing would benefit toll road users at the inconvenience to through traffic on I-405. We are occasional users of the toll road and find it used sparsely. Consider more lanes from South 55 to North 405 instead!

Corinne Stover

From: NAGHAVI, PETER

Sent:

Monday, November 15, 2010 8:31 AM

To:

'rschowe173@aol.com'

Cc:

SETHURAMAN, RAJA

Subject: RE: HOV-HOT LANES ON THE 405

Thank you and we will make sure to provide your comments to OCTA.

Peter Naghavi, Director Dept. of Public Services City of Costa Mesa, CA

From: rschowe173@aol.com [mailto:rschowe173@aol.com]

Sent: Sunday, November 14, 2010 8:53 AM

To: NAGHAVI, PETER

Subject: HOV-HOT LANES ON THE 405

Dear Sir,

I think this project would place yet another burden upon those who use the 405 freeway every day to go to work. Taking away the regular Car Pool lane would require those who need such a lane or its equivalent to pay for the privilege which is now free to those who are qualified to use the lane. I do not see how this could benefit the City of Costa Mesa where I live.

Best regards,

Richard N. Schowengerdt 714-546-7357

From: NAGHAVI, PETER

Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2010 10:07 AM

To: 'Steve F'

Cc: SETHURAMAN, RAJA

Subject: RE: 405 Widening Project to add toll roads

Thank you for your email Steve. We will forward your email to OCTA.

Peter Naghavi, Director Dept. of Public Services City of Costa Mesa, CA

From: Steve F [mailto:beancounter-1@hotmail.com] Sent: Wednesday, October 27, 2010 8:25 PM

To: NAGHAVI, PETER

Subject: 405 Widening Project to add toll roads

To Whom It May Concern:

As residents of the Halecrest track in North Costa Mesa, my entire family strongly opposes the proposed project to widen the 405 freeway near Fairview to add 405 toll lanes and eliminate the car pool lane.

My family uses Gisler Park near the freeway daily to walk to including taking my grandson Monday through Friday. The noise level even with the soundwall is already too much for the park and the homes next to the park. We oppose increased noise.

They just completed a \$7 million project to widen the Fairview bridge. Now that money would be somewhat wasted by additional construction which is a further Fairview traffic burden on our Costa Mesa community which will not benefit by this new project.

Please do not do this and cancel this project.

Steve French (3058 Murray Lane, Costa Mesa 92626) Maritza French Verna French Erika Browell

Danielle Tokarz (102 Pinon Tree Lane, Costa Mesa 92627) Matt Tokarz Rowan Tokarz

From:

NAGHAVI, PETER

Sent:

Thursday, October 28, 2010 10:05 AM

To: Cc: 'stevem@iis1.net' SETHURAMAN, RAJA

Subject:

RE: Can 405 HOT Lane Funding be used to build Reverse Toll Booths?

Thank you for your email. We will certainly include this email as part of a general package to OCTA at some point. I would however, like to make a comment about using "HOT" lane funds towards other things such as ped. Bridges.... At this time, there is no "HOT" lane funding available. The funding will only become a reality if there is indeed a "HOT" lane in operation that generates the funding source, such as sale of bonds etc....

Peter Naghavi, Director Dept. of Public Services City of Costa Mesa, CA

----Original Message----

From: Steve Mariani [mailto:stevem@iis1.net] Sent: Wednesday, October 27, 2010 5:47 PM

To: NAGHAVI, PETER

Subject: Can 405 HOT Lane Funding be used to build Reverse Toll Booths?

Instead of demolishing perfectly good new bridges on the 405, why not use the CAL TRANS HOT Lane money to build new WIDE pedestrian bridges that will attract pedestrians, bikes and golf carts. This will divert local traffic off the 405 at rush hour and lower emissions.

Imagine being able to walk, bike or golf cart over a wide bridge from residential park areas to commercial shopping areas across the 405.

Metro Point and IKEA come to mind. Most residents would prefer to walk, bike or Golf Cart all the time if it was safe and enjoyable.

OČČ students would also have a safe, enjoyable path to bike to school or to go off campus for lunch.

HOT Lane funding could also be used to create a fund for "reverse"

toll booths that dispense store coupons with a hour time stamp on them to encourage bridge use to go shopping at the malls. Everyone wins.

Cal Trans gets to build new bridges and toll booths and life in Costa Mesa gets upgraded.

The Department of Transportation will convert our town into an carelessly planned inner-city if we just roll with the default vision of the central planners in Sacramento and in DC. Was Costa Mesa made for the Freeway or was the Freeway made for Costa Mesa?

Best regards, Steve Mariani 3062 Murray LN Costa Mesa, CA 92626

From: SETHURAMAN, RAJA

Sent: Monday, November 22, 2010 9:29 AM

To: SETHURAMAN, RAJA

Subject: FW: 405 MIS

From: mike brumbaugh [mailto:mlbrum@att.net]

Sent: Friday, October 22, 2010 8:43 AM

To: SETHURAMAN, RAJA Cc: NAGHAVI, PETER Subject: Re: 405 MIS

Thank you gentlemen. Mike Brumbaugh

• The goal is to connect the 73 with the 605 freeway with additional lanes

- The project cost is projected to be \$1.7 billion
- Alternative 3 is the only one that impacts Costa Mesa
- Alternate 3 adds two additional lanes to the 405 that will be "HOT" lanes (High occupancy/toll lanes) and eliminates the car pool lane
- The 405 would be widened for the additional lanes and would push the lanes closer to the sound walls and neighborhoods (e.g. lanes closer to Gisler park sound wall) and could increase traffic noise
- The newly re-constructed Fairview Rd. bridge would have to be re-constructed again to make it wider for the additional lanes under it. The I-405 widening project that was completed almost 10 years ago was done for a traffic volume/speed demand with a forecast year of 2020. The cost for the recent bridge widening construction was 7 million dollars.
- This proposed I-405 widening project provides insignificant and minimal benefit to Costa Mesa residents who travel the 405 locally. People who currently travel the toll roads daily from Costa Mesa to some destination may see the benefit.
- It is not currently known where and if there will be a convenient entrance to the new "HOT" lanes from our community. It may also be difficult to exit the "HOT" lanes into our city.

WE OPPOSE REVISED ALTERNATE #3 TO THE 405 FREEWAY IMPROVEMENT PLAN

siam struct

5/13/2011

Corinne P. Stover 1224 Conway Avenue Costa Mesa, CA 92626 714-432-7371

Louise Stover

1224 Conway Avenue Costa Mesa, CA 92626

714-432-7371

WE OPPOSE REVISED ALTERNATE #3 TO THE 405 FREEWAY IMPROVEMENT PLAN

1. Fred Morble
Signature

Fred E. Merkle 1216 Conway Ave. Costa Mesa, CA 92626 714-545-3798

Signature

Julie Ann Merkle 1216 Conway Avenue Costa Mesa, CA 92626 714-545-3798

Com

Halecrest/Hall of Fame Resident Petition, February 6, 2011

	Signature	Street	City	State	Zip Code	Phone	email Address
	Control Artis	1421 Shomak	Costa Mass.	ざ	STST	79/8 10/19/8	Stene a 811 Com. . com
લ્ફ	20 - 1/02 WILL	1475 Stawner	Carto	す	6 did	6 W. L. 16. 16.22	CONDUITS ZWY B. CB. CA-
工士	LEM MECENIET	1451 Strawized	C.14	Ö	Jess J	344-	330 Tox 6 CA. AR. CO,
70	{ {	22206 man war	2026	3	926	Cagradosog	sufsprumhara @ . Co.
ھ.	X (#) (#) (#) (#) (#) (#)	3103 DU BUN	ECSA NES		BARR	7363 HER-	NZC31828 HOLICO A
<u> </u>		3129 Dissoil	CM	な	97926	1160 0916	
A>	Sold Sold Sold Sold Sold Sold Sold Sold	SICI Courat	Çin	\mathcal{E}	71.07Z)	912h-2017272b	rice period Cavall com
62	Adonshust	(439 Shamrach	C In	Ů	८ १० १८६ य	714 - 1788	
<u>6</u>	しょうたいお	1417 Shamfee	(x) ?	グシ	72725 H J		
٠	Cheus off	1417 SVIANKOCK	C CVM	15	C+ 9262		
4	M. J. S.	1106 Dubly 36	· \V')	と	(x /2016		
3	Mellot Complex	かけのりか	CM	3	226		

1 88 Forderen

Halecrest/Hall of Fame Resident Petition, January 29, 2011

	Street	City	State	Zip Code	Phone	email Address
Water Ward	1356 NASON UNE	dothe Mesa	B	9232	一起光	CAR COTTO MESO 12 G28 21 (H4) BAI-5/24 MATUMENT SO HOL. NOW!
HATTIE SUE ATTERTON	oll Egel	COSTA MESA CA 92626 714-549 3812812	4	92876	714-544 38	ر مدابحة
150	m 3121 ColleGBAU	1844 4 91816 1834 ASS	D	1797.6	7,4	
(C C	3095 College Ave. Costa Hea CH 92626 557-	Costa Hesa	7.5	979R	-1/4- 557- 0554	
EKMIS STENED EGAN STENE	1382 WATSON AVE	COSTIA MESA	80	92°26	34.58-458	0.574 MESA CA 926.26 714-
Kalilyn McCarty	1370 Watson the Costamera of groze 1837-9	costames a	*	ستبدة	1323-27	
Kyan McCarty	1378 watson Are	Cestr	3	नामा	-1226 June 40	
Sernade HE Chinis		Corr.	\$	C4 93638		gleber aol.com
mike torribucki	acas college pric	Costa Mesa (A-92606 979-7023	र्ड	a.C9Cb	714-	

Halecrest/Hall of Fame Resident Petition, January 29, 2011

We oppose revised alternative #3 to the 405 Freeway Improvement Plan

			ζ						
ethal Address			CA 9223 214.9518 MANDER @ 1658 COMPAGE	CI 9261 294527 CMOOKE & FORTISTAR. CON					
State Zip Code Phone	Cy 52626 214	76-8:66 0 7576 W	156-54.5	949 2947527	THE STATE OF THE	÷			
Zip Code	7.725	nac	922	1260	CH SEELE	222,5			
	₹	8	CA	<u>3</u>	N.	例			
Aug	i M	CM	Bailbala ge CM	CM	W)	4			
15 (15 (15 (15 (15 (15 (15 (15 (15 (15 (1845 WELL JR 389 St.	3006 Orilan Ad.	3167	3360 ALABANA CAC	954 Canaton as	3237 Minnesser			
Signature	13 to Minister	M. W. Lab. Killett	(1/2) (1/2)	Char Man	Deland KW.Chi	Kate Grows			

Halecrest/Hall of Fame Resident Petition, January 29, 2011

email Address	Randilyn 14 Chohmail. Com	Parthy Chaire 1. Con	LASSAGBACACO.		Why nday who con				
Phone	7811-h&4-hIV 749-Cb	s		45.45 3444	855h 9				
apo Zale	યુઝન્	=	201826	नामार्	8th				
	CA	do Trad	72°	1.74	47				
40	ecayi letson	11	takehu	CH	(op/ha				
Street	3357 Alabama cith Goshphaannannsame	b	3348Habara	ster tayion ld.	3127 horbardes. (Other CA 97226 4538		-		
Signature	Randilyn Nordström	Kith & Nordstrom	LASOS VARADY	New Marie	WISh Selution				

Halecrest/Hall of Fame Resident Petition, January 29, 2011

RECEIVED

	We oppose revised alterna	ised alternative #3 to the 405 Freeway Improvement Plan	Free.	vay Impi	ovement Pl	an ENGINEERING
Signature	Street	Cltv	State	Zip Code	Phone	email Address
Print						
Seem Stantes	3100 TAPT WAY	COSTA MESA CA 936	CA	766	ーカル	oranstucter a
Oran Strackers	laer			96	1	rescoperio
VIOLA REESE	3105 TAFT WAY	nosta MECA	(٠٠ ١٠ ١٥	416	
Teals here			נט	72626	751-2446	
Deserm	3100 TAPT WAY	1. STA MACA	6	9217	PA 9217/ 714310	
DOBL Mc Comign			, , ,		rəsr	
Polis Mobile	3055 Jahnsen	Cesta Mea	X	7396	JUST 714 2000 F	
(0) 1/2 My Garagery	S			ı	5 11-11-8	
lay I We Carthy	3055 Johnson	Costa Messi	Ø	32026	141.411 25026 AJ	
KIMI Marthil					90Lh	

RECEIVED

Halecrest/Hall of Fame Resident Petition, January 29, 2011

PUBLIC SERVICES

Signature	***************************************	3	State	State Zin Code	Phone	email Address
Print	otteet	Á	State	anon dia	2	
Sandra K. Cuthill						
SANDRA K. CUTHILL	1214 LOWDONDERRY ST.	COSTA MESA	Ğ	92026	92626 714-556-0709	
Mary Searce Praise						
More Deane Craig	1218 Londonderry St.	Costo Mesa	3	92026	1145403989	
Malli	•		7 /	9	628504347	646
BRobin R. Craig	1218 Lewstonderry 31	costomeso	}	1		
Trading Dherdy	3157 Bray Lanc	Costa Masa	4	121126	714 - 751-384	Costa Mesa CA 92U26 714-70-394 nadiresturd Coatt. net
Nadine y Sturby	/					
Bart for	8153 Bry La	(05th Mex, ~ 49-473-11)	4	472.37	449-473-11	
Cachery or composed				1 15/26,	May /	
Nick Blocker	333 Bray Lin	Costa Mesa (A) grenc Tirtubs 1888	F	grenc	38) 395 211	
Carelyn Vieley	3/35 Buy CO.	Costa Med Ca 9626 714-546695	હ્ય	97936	314.54669	\$
Victin Vietan	3138 Beay Ln	; ;	i			Γ,
1 0)	Custa rtso	4	الاطاعا _ت	17 2 26-111 9001 4	(-)
CHURCHURCH INC.	Lavi Unestrut	8	CA	galab	CA 92626 71444334	39 Pachaeso 134 @ Maho
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX						

Halecrest/Hall of Fame Resident Petition, January 29, 2011

Signature	Change	ŧ	a tr	State Zin Code	Dhone	email Address
Print	Street	, and	אום	anon dia		
Pand Panini	3141 LIMEROCK LANE	COSTA MESA CA 9UCU CA6-5502	8	quero	714	rrarch earn, com
Max - Lits	1341 Cosaling Ford	Costa-Mosa	CAC	72626	714 -7124 556-7124	CostA-Moss CA 92626 556-7124 MWD1391@ 106, Com
Threetell Ren	1290 CONUMY BUE	<i>)</i> ,	۸.	11	210 BUG	spogue bernealtsclatheran.
Lew Cost (1) SED	333 Nevada Ace	USTA MESA	Ğ	431634	CA 92626 (114)-809	Vossolonae, com
Elizabeth Dixon	3129 Limerick Ln.	Losta Mesa	CA.	LA: 9 थिय	714- 747-	
. /9//	3138 Limerick Lane Costation CH 92626 4949	CostaMesa	CA	92626	914- 546- 4949	
, or .	3037 Fernheath	Costa Max OA 92626 721 8035	\$	Took	5508 10L	34 mietelpemy cen, com
25 Lav	1240 Londondemys	Costa Meen	3	nanel	200899 HIL	1240 Londondemys Costa Meen CA gardle 714 668 0470 Mitzi lawreneed ychobicom
Cae Codes	ance formarino	Costa Nesa	Š	વકાશ	7)3432423	Costa Nesa Ox 930347)343423 busytea 130 bloosoo

Halecrest/Hall of Fame Resident Petition, January 29, 2011

We oppose revised alternative #3 to the 405 Freeway Improvement Plan

Signature	Street	Clty	State	State Zip Code	Phone	emali Address
Steve Dixon	3129 Limevick Ly	Costa	,5 ,5	Reh	Str. Mu NOVS	Stulized ca , rr,com
	1390 Garling ford st	Costa Lleson	S	વાગભ	osble the 2 bhbox projeb	Jaeson Fabila.@ Yahoo, com.
Namy Carille	1387 Garling ford St	Costa Masa	2	92626	714546754	92626 714546754 Nancy. Carrillo 65 Qyaha, com
1	15 paffarists Less	(3st~ Nota (A 9262L	5		714.546.756)	A/N
CHRISTING BRANDON	1387 GARLINGFORD ST.	COSTA MESA	ð		926.2 714.596.75U	47
LVIV	3145 Bay la	Costa Mera	Z.	STAGE	4 7260 50 8855	inhis subard excite or
Paracia Souto	345 Ray L	Coch Men	B	adali	07 Alal 546 0176	, Me
CHERNING COMPA	1022 Warson delay of	CM	4	dear	CA acaes 624-242	

3001-3011

Halecrest/Hall of Fame Resident Petition, January 29, 2011

We oppose revised alternative #3 to the 405 Freeway Improvement Plan

email Address		Ŕ	-					
Phone	92626 714-754-4020	CA 8262 714-708-2258	CA 92626 7485509818	3138038 (1-14) 85736	34 Gosta Mega CA 92826 (714) 540-7826	CM 92886 (FM) 540-7826		
State #Ip Code	92926	82626	92626	95956	22620	92426		
State	C.A.	5	CA CA	en	C#	Ş		
ding	CostaMesa	Costamesa	Cost# Mesa	23.10 HEGH	Costa Maga	Costa Mesa	•	
Street	Lewish 2001 Proce Co.	3000 Royal Cn.	1290 LAWOINDERRY ST	Texamouno, Cost	ੜ			•
Signature. Prim	The How Levis L	7			Hornandez	Letrin Donnero		

Halecrest/Hall of Fame Resident Petition, January 29, 2011

We oppose revised alternative #3 to the 405 Freeway Improvement Plan

Signature Print // //	Street	Clt.	State	Zip Code	Phone	email Address
Such HMSWorth	1357 Farly port	CM	8	9797	8211 402 2000 TIH	Samsworth Qyahoo, com
Patrick Macton	1368 Garlingford St.	CM	2	226	5911-968 mn 65	martinloans @ gnail. rom
Dave Manning	- 1344 Garlingford	CW	Pa Pa	2926	714-	Ca 92626 662-0509 HM1172dm304@ slocglobal.
Becky Manning	1344 Garling food St Cm	CM	ઝ	92926	Ch 92626 662-0505	blm 1172dm 3040 sbc,globalenet
Janobline!	3134 Kerry Lane	$C_{i}M$	Q	98.96b	9018.2.55 h12	a gaso 714 stoc dand-Marta estophobalitet
S. Marian S. Mar						

Halecrest/Hall of Fame Resident Petition, January 29, 2011

. We oppose revised alternative #3 to the 405 Freeway Improvement Plan

					F		
email Address	CA 926 7-337-6680 Howam . Lefebyre @ GMail. Car	557-5589 Jethreydavis@ ca.rricom	Kemperenson Q CA. R. COM				
Phone	737-6680	6955-259 221-5589	1865-165	:			
State Zip Code	J.A.L.P.	Roch	2926				
State	3	2	d'i				
City	Costo Meca	Coson MEN CA	Con 20				
Street	3011 FRONEDTO LO		lianelling LSIZ				
Signature Print	and John		(17)				

Halecrest/Hall of Fame Resident Petition, January 29, 2011

We oppose revised alternative #3 to the 405 Freeway Improvement Plan

Signature	Street	City	State	State Zlp Code	Phone	email Address	
Marker Bran	1332 Wetrante	Costs Mess	ट्	988	CA 926 1/540-6171		<u> </u>
Elevent o L Greek	BBZWatson	Casta Ch 92626	\$	9262	7		T
maria defense	1340 Watson Are	Costa	\$	opelo	1691-1821/4	maria \$1340@ ca.rr.com	T
	1740 Water Are	Custer Mescy	3	92626	7/914-5515	92626 7/94-5515 d. heale Q ra. rr. com	
							1
							_

Halecrest/Hall of Fame Resident Petition, January 29, 2011

We oppose revised alternative #3 to the 405 Freeway Improvement Plan

Signature	Street	Atio	State	State Zip Code	Phone	email Address
Print / A		•		•		
Shakon Comer	1139 Salvador	Costa	a	7696 b)	1784 F	
Wern J- Francey Marcical Gotravilla	3051 Killybroake	CM	CA	92626	CA 92626 774/130	
Harry Tecgarden	3107 Killy b revo Ke	C m	CA 6	de 976	1262-84S	
MANIE GREATUREX Manie Greaturer	1379 WATSON AV	CM	8	92626	92626 251-4466	
JAMES G. BUOTE Dant R.L.	3161 YLHOLAUR	C.n	0	2006	802h oh5 piL	
Slexps BUOTE	3141 YUKON AVE	C.M.	e 4.	12.C26	5404703 THO	
Lois SiniTit	3050 Warren Lu Cost	C M	CA.	410 gress 714	714 979-7810	
LARBY SMITH	2050 Warren La	WO	\$	92626	0182-676 dry-1810	
Hut zamaga	3146 Killainy LN	6.4	673	98626	CA 92626 714 5968	ELiur, Zeath, net

Halecrest/Hall of Fame Resident Petition, January 29, 2011

Signature Print	Street	City	State	State Zip Code	Phone	emall Address
Rex Ravieri	3141 Limerick	Costa Mesa	\$	भवट	CA 9262 540-	
(las II) 44 th	3145 Linerack Lu Costa Masa CA 92626 540.8975	Costa Mesa	CA	92626	714 S40.8975	
WALTER HOLTE	3145 LIMERIESE LU	COSTA MESA	CA	92026	714 540887S	CA 92626 5408875 WAHOUTE @ YAHOO, CON
John Gerlange	1336 WATSON AV	, ~~~	4	32926	~1287 72726	
Mile Halleman	1497 cleanuille pi	Costa	3	42626	74435	CA 42626 74435 Habernan Myle Offbracen
Guorint Bus the 1103 Tealians	1103 Testia Br	Cota mesa	C. M.	92626	1160-416 1160-416	Costs Mars CA 92626 544-0374 Witts/ACOSheylobal. not.
Shitzang farson Soutos	3.55 Areadas Sr. # 7.2	coste nesa	5	المحدورا	1092 2001	
Gwer Laran	EQ to openant ESE	Costs Meser CA	F.	92627	42627 714-914-	
PRIAN A SIGER	DVO US ASSEGNACIONOS 2221		8	alle	124 87 8 7 8 7 9 1 8 1 8 1 8 1 8 1 8 1 8 1 8 1 8 1 8 1	CA asse sugget degless 50 hotmad/con

Halecrest/Hall of Fame Resident Petition, January 29, 2011

We oppose revised alternative #3 to the 405 Freeway Improvement Plan

Signature	Street	City	State	State Zip Code	Phone	email Address
Chart Lee Krochman 2107 Trivity Drive		Oscha Mesa	S	grach	7)3355518	(n 92626 18355518) nete Krochman org
Johnsona Leng		Cost. Mesc	85	- 2000	JA 6000 1)24-1840	7
Just Long	3103 Warren Ln		CA	# 12 JORGE 47	7) 799-3211	
	3103 WARREN LU	Coma MKsn (A	B	42021	756 926	
EXC KIMMER	3099 NARRENUE	PT30 0 0		pool	CA 9262 1025	PINNIEW JOHN PORS 60
Thatrion Duber-Niddell 1274 Conson Ave	1274 Concery Ave Custo Meach	Costa	(4)	12626	1416) 12026 A)	
Closed Horsely	abao SonJuan Lane	Costa	Z	CA 92626		
Monda derival	LICE REFORM AL	Costa. Mest	B	dester	TETCILLY GENERAL	MADICENER OF MICHEL
1915 Grundaud aut Loven Ln	Costation	Costanta	W	વટહાર	714 5745880	COSTANDER ASERT SHOWN & CELL NOT
7						

Halecrest/Hall of Fame Resident Petition, January 29, 2011

Signature	4				-	
Print	Street	CITY	State 4	state Lip Code	Pnone	email Address
COUBIN S. BRODHY	1,276 LONDONDERRY BOSTA MESA, 92626	COSTA MESA CA 92626 7) 546-	8	12626	7)546-	CORBIN SCOTE. ATT. NET
Lorenz Maignez	1280 landunderry 1	roen peor	Ġ.	Gress	714	
Davloen Teverraigh	1244 Condonderpy St.	CN	4	Or 92626	3096 -585 -h12	
Chausi C. Millward	_	Ocsta Mesa 04 9262 557-	64 6	Jose	714 557- 03560	
Actions H. MILL WARD RICHARD COOLERS	SIST KILMANEY UD	COSM MESA	\$	92926	7 14 55 7 0256	
CARULIN PEDERSON	3154 KINGRAPY LM	COSTA MEN CA	8	72726	2851-12P 2525P	Kempedersongch.RR.com
Seryal lawrence	propulation of the	Costa Mysn	7	12626	899.41 8296 m	
Juste From Sandoval	1240 Landonderry Costa Masa (OH) 98626 (714) 668-	Cosk Nesa (6 F	3626 (714) 668- 0885	
34601.5, CRA(9	1218 LBNDONGERICOSTAMES		#	\$262	714-540 3989	C+9262714-540 TENROTHONOOMAILCON
65						

Halecrest/Hall of Fame Resident Petition, January 29, 2011

Signature	Street	Cfty	State	State Zip Code	Phone	email Address	
Dacold Diamond	22912 Kingslay St. bake Forest, G. 92680	Lake Forest	g	92630	449-215-		
17 A	grove Helichary Rd	A V	, d	0 9000	cholopal all		
380 & Frath	313Hsary (ane	CESHAMESA (ALGASIC SES)	(F)	J. J. J.	525(45-840		
Laura Buise	0 fm	47388100	c,t	08226	714- 58377 58377		
Bimond Chas	313d Bray La	rotifis	S	73676	121-028 929RL CD		
Whom Dund	36300 Legentoon sente Cona Cor 92709 30 44 DONINYSFOOK (20574 468) CA 72626	Jente Cond M- 92709	\$ 2	72709 72626			1
Opens ? Wirm	3644 Something Conta 1720 CA 92426 714-41/19	(asta 11210	74%	75/51	714-41011	ζ,	
unsantiao	3153 Blaylans Coope wesa, o	COSK, West CA GOLDS 1630	77	Ber	714204-		
D 127 V124	3158 BEAY LN COSTA NESA	COSTA LESAT CA- 92626 (274	\$	gicre	3 25 (hid)		

Halecrest/Hall of Fame Resident Petition, January 29, 2011

L. L. COSTANTEST CA A GLELL CAL CA A GLELL CAL CA A GLELL CAL CA GLE	State Zip Code Phone email Address
AS SULS CORK CAME COSPANIEST 3153 Killarney LN. COSPANIESTE (N. M.) MINERAL LIMENICK LN. MINERAL LN. MANAMA COSPANIESTE (N. M.) MANAMA C.M., CA 946 C. M., CA 946 C. M. MANAMA C.M., CA 946 C. M.	CA 9426 749428
2153 Killarney Ln. Costa Nesa CA. Gaca CM Costa Nesa CA. Gaca CM Costa Nesa CA. Gaca Costa Nesa CA. Gaca Costa Nesa CA. Gaca (1280 Costa Nesa CA. Costa Nesa CA. Gaca (1280 Costa Nesa CA. Costa Nesa CA. G	OF 9226 1145E6881(
ani John 18158 Limerick Ln. 21/ July Loydon Nesa OA. Galas CM 1284 Limerick Ln. 1284 Limerick Ln. 1286 Cardendory 1286 Cardendory 1286 Cardendory 1286 Cardendory 1286 CARNAMA (h., C.M., 1287 Notery Lane 25095 Notery Lane 12095 Notery Lane 12095 Notery Lane 12095 Notery Lane	CA 92626 DES-9331
Li. Bull " 1304 LONDONNICERY ON CONTROL 1280 CONDECTOR OF STUDE CO.M. 2) MAIN (1280 CONDECTOR OF STUDE C.M. 2) MAIN (1280 CONDECTOR OF STUDE C.M. 2) MAIN (1280 CONDECTOR OF STUDE C.M. 2) RADANSANO C.M., CA 976 C. M.	CA 92026 1) 424-484
Dolheson 1280 Candendersy C.M. Out Costo Deca Od 9200 CD 1) AMM (126 Cha., CB 97626 C.M., L) AVAYANO C.M., CB 97626 C.M. Brad Warrick Costa Wesa ct 97676 C.M.	85001-pil deneb po
DAMASAND C.M., CB 976 C.M. DAMASAND C.M., CB 976 C. M. Srad Warrick Costa wesa cx 92626 C. M.	Co 92626 214 9198
Scas Mossey land C. M. Costa wasa ct arable C. M. Missa ct arable (1.1)	250 July 200
1120 KILL (10000K (11/1/1)	CA 126 K (114) 540
	(A Mull mil ulight

Halecrest/Hall of Fame Resident Petition, January 29, 2011

Signature	Street	, and the second	State	State Zip Code	Phone	email Address
Print						
By Albanat	1282 Grammy CAME	Costa Mesa	e₹	JEEC	714-820	gregory, h. barnell @ boeintion
Consession As Commet						
His Danes	1382 Galway Lane	Costa Mesa CA 92626 2110 1	\$	97976	414-	elizbarnette msn.com
LIZ BARDETT	/				277-4169	
Mallang	-	W	-		74	
Duch Barnett	1382 Galway Ln. (COSta Med CA 19266 371-108)	Costa Men	5	12626	371-1080	
Braow Or Himms		, ,	5	Ţ	714	
Bryon Williams	1516 Mariney Lay	Casto Meso	5	1666	CH 166 30 4357	
For House	3085 Autolita Bures	The mese	74	32926	11 2796 W	
Row + (Ayware	Con	į			>41-6126	
Souther - X. chaywen of	3085 FERNMEATH Lu.				416	
BARBARA L. HATWARD		(1957) 1 th Shi	3 Z	42626	545-612E	
STEMM GREWE	3180 Chery OF FER LM		5		11/4	Maria Committee
steven Green		COSTA (1984	5	29 Mark	WY THOUGH	JANGARGER OF MISH, LATT
more and the second	10 1 1 1 1 1 2 1 2 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2	121	(97076	~ H/L 129/16 10	
1.2 C. 1511 LVG	S.C. C.		?	, 5, 1	45.42.6	
Test and the wife	3)47em	Costa Hea	Ve	C) VISUA	LATER WARD NO	WHEE M. C. CA. R. R. Lorn
Barbara Thesing	n ,		<u>-</u>	<u>.</u>	557-6-492	

Halecrest/Hall of Fame Resident Petition, January 29, 2011

Signature	Street	Clty	State	State Zip Code	Phone	email Address
1 phy siel a. Tarme le	3233 MICHIGAN AVE	COSTH MESA CH 92626	15	12626	714 -1060	
an of the	1440 Deavisile Pl.	g	3	CA grere	412L-18P	
Marcia Month	1284 landonderry costa		7	JOSO,	A 9212 3154797	
Land Lame	3153 Killewood 210.		CIA	32926 33	187/625 3	
Jienha Zuniga	3146 Killarmy LN.	Costa McSa	8	CA gacos	714.	
Scul Robiguez I	SIHG Killamay Lm.	Cosla Mesa	CA	CA GOGOS	714. 641. 3963	
KENIN FISHER	3145 KillArmer	COSTA MESG CA 92626 "438	₹ 3	2526	4.78 \$2.18	
Icma fisher	3145 Killarney	Coota Mesu	5	CA 92626	ט וו	
Java B. Sullivan Java B. Sullivan	3050 Tyler.Wy	Costa Wasa CA 92626	\$		714)751- 6415	

Halecrest/Hall of Fame Resident Petition, January 29, 2011

email Address	φ		jeunifere theocchists, pen,	Maleckows & Hothacil. Con	rped adecrema .com				CA STER 356-763, RYON, STOCKO in ACCONTAINS
Phone	714 9:4-52	926 Jul. 357.2606	908-155 711.	714	CA 9267 714-932-	342/L. M 275		8 L 2h -h 5 L h 1 L	714 356-76
Zip Code	arian	92624	dsox	9264	t.79725	and a	END	(A 9.262¢	22/26
State	4	(A)	4	\.	\$			5	3
City	COSTA MUSA CA GLICAL COFER-5700	Cospa Masa	Costa Masy CA 92020 7114 557-2606	Costa Man CA 9262 714-	Cesta Mesa	CW	CM	C IM	Ch
Street	1139 SONADOS ST.	1219 Donagal Pl.	1319 Dunegal Pl.	1218 Donegua Pl	1218 Breen R	J. 12 DMG B	BOS Anaheim	1298 BELFAST AVE	3005 CUNTER LN
Signature Signature	Chisis Comier	Theret Churst	LANGE CHANGE	Muchella DeCours	The following	Heir Mill Aunt	Cathion Stat	KIRK KISTLER	Ryan Steck

Halecrest/Hall of Fame Resident Petition, January 29, 2011

Signature	Street	City	State	State Zip Code	Phone	email Address
Gamula Ulilson PAMELA WILSON	3093 DONNYBROCK LN	BSTA MESA CA 92626 7114-545-	\$	Hence	714-545-	N/A
MARILM J. Khoch	3098 Donny Beerd West Mess (A Galale	I Cresh Mesh	C. C	BRAG	11.0	M
Fluir Vaners	2697 Tornyhooll	OoxTA MSA	Ĉ	-7262.6	CA GRALG YRY FAZIG	MA
Kei Roche	3094 Donnybrook-Ln.	Custamesci	\$	nnb	CA 92626 360.0213	7/4/7
Doni Ray	3088 DonnybrookIn	eostangsa	0 # 0	72626	0 A 92626 714- 546-0338	4/1
Dr. nd I Campeller	2017 bennyaleur	COSTA MESA	\$	CA quite hiy-	9256-585 -HV	MA
Joan 2. Ran	1284 Cours les	Coots 7464 (2. 9266 714	6.k	35.76	28/20-66 11/4	4/10
Jum Birdill	174 (may	٠ س٠)	(N	91916		W.
MARGE CALICCHIO	3071-Farenirath	C M	(A 6	(A Palax	74-915	M/A

Halecrest/Hall of Fame Resident Petition, January 29, 2011

Signature Dery Brown 7293 Fembran Ln Thomas Mulyan Thomas Mulya	reet Neoth Ln	Clty	Ctate	i	-	
Den Besen Jenna Makayan Uning munasing	الاسلام عالم الملام عالم	בב	9		9	
1250 House	sheeth Ln		91010	State Zip Code	400 400 400 400 400 400 400 400 400 400	email Address
1250 4055	wheath Ln					
4055		Coste Mosa	۲ ₄	32,26	441016h 92926	4/4
40055					9101-486	
mon		COSTa mas		27.62	976 26 714-184 VAL	٠ ٩٠٠٥ نه ١
	YEATH ARNE	20 11		92926	7145407581	<i>\</i> //
						* -
1260 Betst Ave	* Anc	-		1.	7485383	748K3834 KARIMAKSOME, COM
1 Golg & Year	-					evelia carlos expenso, com
CARLOS & MORA 1270 BELFAST	AUE	EATING 1150 AN ALSO	<u>\$</u>	97976	821112E hlt	#W
Fref 1280 BRIEA	ST AUR		,	70% - 0		1
FRANK DOGENS		CUS LA MRGA LA 428CO 714 53/2161	10 m	97971	114 55/216/	A/V
MIVE TO 1784 BY FAST NR	1 Fast Ave	<i>Ž</i> .	્ઙ	(c 97/02/0		And their a claralalant
SKEWING MY TEP		· .)	,	3		S S ILL & SECULIARIES FILL FILL FILL FILL FILL FILL FILL FIL
Ushank Stall 1398 Bestact Ave	ast Ave	3 000		7 0 0 0	L'AF (
Departory & Cotten			\$	4,476	151 PIT 2347P	W/W
					14/2	
LESLIE CHIPISTIE SIOI VOUNYBLOOKKUI.		Colo	冷	2700	5/1/ 01/5	- Aland

Halecrest/Hall of Fame Resident Petition, January 29, 2011

1373 CArlingtorD Cothera CA 72626 714-545-258 1396 Garlingtord St Costa Mesa CA 92626 714-545-558 24. 1360 Harby Losta Mesa CA 92626 714-545-173 1361 Garlingtord St Costa Mesa CA 92626 714-545-173 1364 Garlingtord St Costa Mesa CA 92626 714-545-173 1364 Garlingtord St Costa Mesa CA 92626 714-545-173 1364 Garlingtord St Costa Mesa CA 92626 714	Signature	Street	Ą	State	State Zip Code	Phone	email Address
1373 CARLING FORD 1394 Garling Ford Stract 1361 Garling Ford St. 1367 GARLING FORD 1364 GARLING FORD 1364 GARLING FORD 1364 GARLING FORD 1364 GARLING FORD 1365 GARLING FORD 1375 GARLING FORD 13	Print						
1396 Garlingtord Street 1360 Hardingtord St. 1361 Garlingtord St. 1364 Garlingtord St. 1364 Garlingtord St. 1364 Garlingtord St. 1364 Garlingtord St.	Charles L. Ropp	1773 GARLINGFORD		C.M	97976	812:375-416	
1396 Garlingford Stract 361 Garlingford St. 1367 Garlingford St. 1364 Garlingford St. 1364 Garlingford St. 1364 Garlingford St. 1364 Garlingford St.	chales & Rogs	\ ?					
1396 Garlingford Street Yet 1360 Harby Jord St. 1361 Garlingford St. 1364 Garlingford St. 1364 Garlingford St. 1364 Garlingford St. 1364 Garlingford St.	17: was I are lan field						
1361 Garlingfird 34. 1367 Cortugald St. 1364 Carlingford St. 1364 Carlingford St. 1364 Garlingford St. 1364 Garlingford St.	Mary Jane Canfield	1396 Garlingford Street		6.4	92626	714. 825-665	meryjone cantield la yahoo, com
1961 Garlingford 34. 1361 Garlingford 34. 1364 Garlingford 34. 1364 Garlingford 14. 1364 Garlingford 14.	Grave Rypumenos.						
1361 Garlingford 31. 1364 GARLINGFORD 1364 GARLINGFORD 1364 GARLINGFORD ST 1364 GARLINGFORD ST	Sean RiVeunloyer	1360 Herling Lord S.F.	Coste mesa	(2) A	72626	114-545173	
1364 GALLINGTORD ST. 1364 GALLINGTORD (1364 GALLINGTORD ST. 1364 GALLINGTORD ST. 1364 GALLINGTORD ST.	1-0ar Tu	Ċ		ć	(
1364 GALLINGTOND 1364 GALLINGTOND 1364 GAT LINGTONDSF	JAAN N			2	336%	714-296-4133	
1364 GALLINGTOND 1364 GALLINGTOND 1364 GAT LING FORD ST 1361 GALLINGTOND ST	,	1367 Coolingbld St		7	Ju 1 15	\$ -266 DID	000
1364 GALLINGFORD 1364 GALLINGFORD ST. 1364 GALLINGFORD ST.	San			5	المال	741-517 19	Ancij3440@Yahov.com
1364 Garling Ford St. 1364 Garling Ford It. 1361 GALLINGGED ST		1364 GALINGPOND	WYN NEW	1	7076	162 1-125-ML	
	M. Dalle			7	12020	111 20 1717	STOUGHT (CM:KK, COM)
	P	1364 Garling Ford St	lesella Mesal	A.	421026	<i>ħ1L</i>	
	- Polannie & Beralle)		})	556-1393	
	Lauren Doughty O U	1364 Earling Ford UF	COTEO NEGO	CA	9292p	714	
1361 GALLINGGOKD ST	yourse Donality.	•				550-1393	
	المريمة	(36) GALLINGGULD ST	CLUSTA META	Ψ̈́	מנאתט	74	
	PATRICK GLEASON					10/1-167	

Halecrest/Hall of Fame Resident Petition, January 29, 2011

2 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12	Street	City	State	Zip Code	Phone	email Address
Print						
John Hydrins	3130 KILLY BROOKE	U TO M COTO	VV	July 16	グルングラルナル	3130 KILLY Spooks right Mach 11 Ania nowally 12 KNPEGGIO CA. R. COM
JOHN HIBGINS	CAN'S COMPANION	4/02/44/09	}	Salar S	וו וביות ושמ	
Margart Stagins	3130 KILLYBROOKE	Bayl been O	,	1766	ShShIL	₽
141/		(18)11 MEST 61+ 7000 1958	ナナ	7 Asol.	9258	
1 CAN WUNDER	312 6 KILLYBROOKS	855417 1616 CB WILL 714549	é	וראנה	br5 11L	Ulwhittaker (thotmail com
MAILS HA WHATER				3	9150	}
Ma Paire 1, Atto B)	1 21 1/11/1 1/21	14 1 0		10100	bhg-hil	2121 11.11 15. 12.1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
	Olde Killy ENCORE LD.	Costa Meso	5	7×6×7	, 096	
1. C(2)	1213 DERGET-LN	Comply of Local	3	/e /oc	310 9612	
JEHNNE DELPERDANG		HEAD THEST	士 シ	٠ ٢ ٢	10.01 January 110.5	
FEW ARNOW	当のなるない、ハケア				512	
eg arrold	122-242-221-124-124-124-125-1-1-124-125-1-1-124-125-1-1-124-125-1-1-124-125-1-1-124-125-1-1-124-125-1-1-124-125-1-1-124-125-1-124-125-1-1-1-124-125-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1	SOLA LIGA	E E	47826	125-245	
800	1346 Georga Jons	Cesta west As deen Theres		d 2626	C-55-71L	Jething Elixo SX 6Cobyl. 127
Lattrey Coers -	>	•				
Died My	1346 Cashory Fores) wan where	4	0 2426	944 207.5067	
Les Murga	7					
C. Sahlat	1352 Galinofed	ر ۱۹۴۶	رخ	0)4946		
Christine Childer		})))))		

Halecrest/Hall of Fame Resident Petition, January 29, 2011

We oppose revised alternative #3 to the 405 Freeway Improvement Plan

			-			
Signature Print	Street	City	State	State Zip Code	Phone	emali Address
They Hell	LUBUMI CNO 7 SSZI	CO TPA NESIA	CA	92mc	221-1115 -4126 40	411LA550 CIATES @ ATT, NET
John Plashi JOHN PLOSKI	3154 BRAY LN	COSTA MESA CA 92626 (714)	CA	97976	546-4029	
Vanahan Barker	3037 Warren Lane	Costa Mesa	₹)	7575	67526 (mg)	Vbarker40)gmáil.Com
Scott CHKISTIANSEN	3149 BRAY CANE	COSTA MESA	\$	255 2526	7,4 5.57 7.843	SCOITZ RAY® SBCGLOBMZ, NET
Hura B. Romero	1831 Me Cormack In.	Costa Mesa (G. 92626 9570249	Ġ	2626	412 9450 739	

Halecrest/Hall of Fame Resident Petition, January 29, 2011

We oppose revised alternative #3 to the 405 Freeway Improvement Plan

Signature	700073	.410		1	1	A Laboratory and the second se	
Print	אונפנו	CILY.	State	state Lip Code		eman Address	
Spark R Fungshow DAVID RHUNSAKER	3160X14146500Ke	COSTA	75	Papal	114) 14 942 556 873		
MIKEL VALENCIA	3 135KILL VBROCKE		CH	92446	714		
Josephine L. Malencia 31.38 XIII hrooke	3138 KII, prooke	Costa Mesa	A	12626	71 926 41 ESES		
Matt Natericia		(05to Masa (24 92626 549:1359	1/9	92626	714- 549:1359		

Halecrest/Hall of Fame Resident Petition, January 29, 2011

We oppose revised alternative #3 to the 405 Freeway Improvement Plan

	4	 				
email Address	(0 shamest of 922 1) 3535371 wanbaughd. () s & global. Let					
Phone	नु अड्ड ५अ		:			
State Zip Code	BH					
State	\$					
Clty	(o shamesa-					
Street	3158 10.114600Ke					
Signature	DebraWantange.					

Halecrest/Hall of Fame Resident Petition, January 29, 2011

We oppose revised alternative #3 to the 405 Freeway Improvement Plan

Signature	Parada	į	5	S Code	grodd	omail Addrace
Print	3116	<u> </u>	אמוב	zip coue		
Cynthia Morton	1345 GarlingordSt Costa Mes.		42	92926	-945 411 92Pb	
CANTHIA MONTON	Costor Meson					
Liter The Mouten	,				(b+0)	
RITA M. MOKTON BUS Veringinal 4	243 Univana Pl.	(154 400 Mars 184 92627 578-7922	CA.	92627	548-7922	
Land Mortan	243 VIRGINIA PL.	COSTA MESA	Z U	92627	2761-845 12026	
PAUL K. MORTON					•	
MENDERN MARCH COMPACTOR TO SERVICE ALL	134K 1 ox 1 WOBEN 4	Costa Meso	8	GSLANG		
ACCOUNT WIND WANTED SECOND				9		
Mary VM Parton	3064 MADISON AVE	norra Mesa	20		412	
MARY V MORTON				22076	9576862	
Olishot Ol Bluman	ant - Emison I will C	We	,	(カル	
Elizabeth A Dilsissian	- Total Control of the		3	12626	CA 17626 341 8445	
Kevin Morton	3068 Madison Are	Couts Mess	CA	(ML) gread	(ril	
2. me					803-3115	
•						

Halecrest/Hall of Fame Resident Petition, January 29, 2011

		4				
Signature	Ctrast	3	Ctate	Zin Code	ancha	amail Addrace
Print / / /	2000	- CILY	21816	cip code		
Stoll Stoll Stoll	125) (2. d mospord	Colon Maso	ت د	7776 3)		
em ru e					625 112	
[]onflow	1372 Garling Food St.	Casta Mesq	Ca	cq 92226	. 235-7	dremmel plambra, com
FLEMICAN	1349 GURIC INSFORT H	COSTAMENS- CA 9254 714.8453.	, TU)	2.72	714.845.3.	
BHMALLS 51, ALMS	1341 GARLINCHUM	1 & 17 SES 18 AP AR 201 A CP 15 15 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16	\$	72926	1417	
Thomas TERSIGNI	1376 BARLINGFORD ST COSTA MESA	1	5	97626	2676 714 546-	tom atersignionet
Mary & Lungeri MARY L. TERSIONI	1376 GARLINGFORDIST. COSTAMESA		64	<i>محدو</i>	- 3h5-412 JEARS 47	
Horr Jeerson &	Be Emberged	Constant of the second	\$	W 4866	1097-169(1)12	10
Lori Hevrent	1356 Garlington 81	Com	y	nose	97626 714)651460	
JAMES & FIGURENT	1356 GArlingfordst	3	t.	CA 926%	SE EPIHD 41 C	
5						

Halecrest/Hall of Fame Resident Petition, January 29, 2011

Signature Print	Street	City	State Zip Code	p Code	Phone	email Address
Cans a Kaba	3065 FERNHEATH LN	COSTA MESA	CA 9	9292	1266.0PB 2059	CANISY MERRICO SACGLOBAL NOT
galan Kanieri	3141 Limeriek	CostaMesa CA 92626 323-	45	HOHE	714- 323- 0475	rexsmom @ aul. com
MARYBEH Brophy	1276 Londond ocey (OostAMESA CA 92626) 7	CostAMesA-	CA 9	7292	4) 546-5141	fan 'LLth Empedyfaghthyll 1915-195
Conthue 1/ Association	304x LOREN UN	(4.4) (4.4) (4.42) (4.44) (4.4)	(A4 9	ng2	(414) (414)	aeveryden, rreom
MIKE BRUMBAUGH	3101 LOREN LN	COSTA MESH	S #S	27978	714	MLBRUM QATT.NET
Diana_MOORE	Soon murrayin	wayin costa	28 th	5050	45 (414) DEDER JAD	Diducanovesque o 3609 Whe
Mais Hill	12 88 hondonderry	Meso-	# 19:	rkie	214 546452	CH galox 3444552 conpresparabors@
PAM DICKEY (Matt	1391 Carlingford St	Costa	b 49	2626	42626 Sed-	maltpammie@aol.com,
Irene Lefebrre	3011 Fernheath	Costa	27	H26	0619 JERE 40	howard. lefebree@althout

Halecrest/Hall of Fame Resident Petition, January 29, 2011

Signature	Street	City	State	State Zip Code	Phone	email Address
Print						
noney of Hunsaker					(†1 <u>†</u>	
Nancy L. Hunsaker	Hunsaker 3160 Killybrooke	Costa Mesa	Ø	92626	556.8563	Costa Mesa OA garac 556-8563 rlhoa B. chahas com
7	17, 10, 100				(114)	
MARK KIRSCH	St.	STA MESA	8	mis	451-	Kiren Kirsche Vocketmail.
Breve French	•	やトからり	,		(74%)	begaes sistem-1 @
		£ 1784	Š	72626	4700-111	no thail = con
DIXIE FRAZIER	LETT SHANNON	2 000 1 March 114	4	16740	714	LIXE EME, ON
Duty forture	N. 7		5	may/	8129	
Adrienne Doidne	1270 bondonderry St	Costa Mesa	CA	2226	-929-WIL	
Advientesoides	Comment.				2-665	
I TOBE	1230 Lander down	GN-	43	CA GRESTO		
Jour Toidye	_					
Sandra Ploshi	3154 Bray Ln	るい	ゼン	92236	-HIL	CA grass 714- DIOSKIS@aol.com
Sandra ProsiKI	,	•			754-446	
Ed Marraneus	1207 Dansgar	4	O)	GARES.	9019	CD 9202 549 Menede 515 CG 1000. No ET
2/2)		}	SW - 30t 13	
Gusen sport	- J. C.	0	S) t - C	714 HIZ	ML MIL WILL OF
Susan Robers	1280 tertost Aux	として	4	2/2/2	B1212	2 KTOK @ 1261 C/

Halecrest/Hall of Fame Resident Petition, January 29, 2011

Signature	Street	City	State	State Zip Code	Phone	email Address
Print		•				
Kanen Kirsch	1336 Garlingford St.	St. Casha Mesa CA 92426 (714)	5	2000	29925p	Karen Kirsella vocketmail.com
Kur Kimmelle Lois Kimmerle	3146 Bray Sane	CASA MISA	8	77.077b	7th0-959	CASIL PUBL GELOUTZ COIS JERN 76 @ SACCLOBBLINET
Bob Kinnenle Bot Thummen	3146 BRAY LANE	ASEM PISO	8	42926	etho.288	CA 92626 SSG-0472 ROBTHEMAGNETMAND SBC CLOBALINGT
George Convecchio	3129 Corkin	Costa Masa	ره	936S	714	Costa Masa Ca 93636 751-3793 gbonvecchio 321 6 earnoun
Shord C. Slane	3095 College Huc.	COSTA MESE	\$	9597b	414 557-0554	3095 College Ave. Costa Nesa CA-12626 557-0554 mustangmawma@ Speglobal.
And Bearmanuch	3121 CORK LANG	Costa Musa	4	gozb	वसव पाउ-१०५5	SPST CONF COSTO, MODE CAPPOSO AND BEAUTIFULOSES AND BEAUTIFULOSES SACIODOS LA LIBERTA DE CONTRACTOR SACIODOS CONTRACTOR SACIONA SACIODO SACIONA SACIONA SACIONA SACIONA SACIONA SACIONA SA
	1292 Lordondery St.	Cote Mea (04	92726	1.898.945	Cox Mex Ca 92626 7493631/ Brangrace OCTI. Net
They Saves	1292 Condendary St.	(65th Mega	رخ ص	92976	1,695.6hS	9266 714 Bangrace Batt. NET
Ma Kornew	Substantibles	CM	€	gench	bhto-Lsb (hil)	OB GOLDST-0249 AREMEND-11@ hothmail. com

Halecrest/Hall of Fame Resident Petition, January 29, 2011

Signature	ţ.	ŧ	Ctoto	Zin Codo	de	Adrese
Print	Jagic	City.	aleic	state Zip Code		GIIIGII VAGIGOS
Ainder Bridge	3100 TAFT WAY		00	7574	741	granstuckere
andy Istuder		WEST HINEST	\$	10000	504.0101	netscape.net
(monday Mat	1206 PARNELL Place	((0110 911	TES JOH BY MENT ABEN!
Charges Middle	CM	CM	CA	22626	CA 92626 19-392	
Kysting Ghealar	3057 Lapen Lu.	,	,	,	(bh6)	Som worker or work
	CH		\$	70006	UP 7006 1887-4342	المراجعة والمعاددة والمعاددة
Dan Wales	Royce h	¥	3	1.00mb	905 800	duxle (6) what Com
,					-00c	Same : City
Through leng					24	
Thomas Fron "	3827 layce Cu.	Con	Š	200 to	9873	
Heidin	1) engratous tours	777		Garar	- MSQ(B)	heid a inchina
HED 14	Landard Land	5	ह	200	1087	20: X : 11: 11: 10: 10: 10: 10: 10: 10: 10: 1
Just Jas	3007-Donnybrack Ln	N	4	CA Associa	9)294-	insting insting.
STATASOL O		-			, 7039	
for Horris	3142 Killyburolie	C M	Z	929Zb 65		
alian Stone	J 1. 10 6911	**	77	926.26	CA 926.26 76.63	
Collegn Blank			i		05.50	

Andrya N. Powers 3354 Nevada Ave. Costa Mesa, CA 92626

July 16, 2012

To OCTA and CalTrans:

The following are my comments concerning the San Diego Freeway (I-405) Improvement Project.

I am against ALL options! I am against all of them because they ALL include a new Ellis on-ramp which will cause the sound wall in the Mesa Verde North area to be moved substantially closer to Residential structures and therefore residents.

There appears to be no consideration for any reconfiguration of the current onramp at Ellis Ave. If the described new on-ramp and/or current on-ramp could be reconfigured, I would support Option 1 because I know that Option 2 will be affecting the (Seal Beach) College Park Residents' sound wall, too.

I am not against the changes that need to be made to the Freeway, but I am when it actively affects Citizens' homes and quality of life both in the short and long term for no apparent reason.

Furthermore, I feel that the EIR as it currently stands is absolutely NOT complete and there is not enough information for a final decision to be made in August. I feel that all possible alternatives to adding the Ellis on-ramp have not been thoroughly explored. In addition, I feel that the environmental impact on the Residents and to California Elementary and TeWinkle Middle Schools has not been adequately researched. While these schools are not directly adjacent to the project, their school yard is within 100 yards of the proposed construction in all 3 options.

Besides my specific concerns on the EIR, I do have questions included that I would like more information about. If I need to submit those to another contact, please let me know. My further comments below are all in relation to the Ellis on-ramp construction phase on all of the options.

Background Information:

From attending the Costa Mesa Hearing, the Fountain Valley Hearing, and the Costa Mesa City Council Meeting, I have learned that due to the Ellis on-ramp reconfiguration, the sound wall between my home and the freeway will move 22 feet closer to my house. That will now be 5-6 feet from my property line. A 15 foot retaining wall will be built and on top of that a 12 foot sound wall will be built. In addition, at the Fountain Valley Hearing I spoke to an Engineer who said that my wall on my current property line will be removed and a footer for the retaining wall will need to come partially into my property (approximately 1 foot). He said they will need access to my yard. I have since spoke to Christina Byrne

and she said that when she looked into it she was told there will need to be a construction easement, but the wall will not need to be removed.

Will access to my property be needed for this construction? If so, to what extent?

The On-Ramp Itself:

- 1. What is the reason for the change to the on-ramp? From my understanding it is to prepare for the projected increase in traffic over the next 30 years. However, from what I can tell, all the land surrounding is already built out. There is no anticipation of more housing to be built. So where would this increase in traffic on Ellis come from? And wouldn't the additional lanes from Magnolia and Brookhurst/Talbert also work toward reducing the Ellis traffic?
- 2. I CANNOT understand why even more lanes need to be added for this on-ramp south of the Santa Ana River. There are already SEVEN lanes at that stretch of the freeway. It is one of the widest stretches of the entire freeway. If the on-ramp needs to be added there has got to be a way to do that within the current 7 lanes. I can't imagine that one on-ramp would cause the need for a NINE lane freeway.
- 3. Has there been a traffic study done on where the traffic is coming from? Has there been a traffic study on the impact of improved traffic circulation due to reconfigurations of Magnolia, Brookhurst/Talbert and increased flow on the 405 itself?
 - a. After the freeway is widened at Magnolia, Brookhurst/Talbert, will there still be a need to change the configuration? I can only assume that with easier access to the freeway, Citizens would be more apt to take those on-ramps, thus, reducing the traffic on the Ellis on-ramp.
- 4. I have observed the Ellis SB on-ramp during rush hour morning traffic and noticed that the main reason there is a backup is because of the "meter" light which restricts traffic entering the freeway. Even though there are 2 lanes on the on-ramp and 2 entry lanes from Ellis, the traffic has to stop even if their traffic light is green because the meter is not allowing cars to enter the freeway. Has changing the timing of the meter or changing it from 1 car per light to 2 cars per light been considered? If this option hasn't been tried, we should not be adding an Ellis on-ramp until we have done so.
- 5. Has adding a third lane to the current on-ramp (to store more cars waiting for access to the freeway) been a consideration?
- 6. Has adding additional lanes to the Magnolia and/or Brookhurst/Talbert on-ramp been considered? It seems short-sighted to build an entire new ramp, move the sound wall, build more freeway, build retaining walls and deal with the effects on Residents without adding on to where construction is already occurring.
- 7. It is interesting that the configuration was able to be done in a way to accommodate the Sanitation District Driveway, but cannot be modified in a way to reduce the inconvenience and negative effects it will have on the quality of life for the Mesa Verde North Residents.

Structure:

- 1. The houses in the Mesa Verde North Track are not like your standard single family residence built in Costa Mesa. They have zero lot lines and several homes have a "back yard" that wraps around the house in an L or U formation. In addition, for several of these houses, like mine, this means my house is 10 feet from the property line. Most houses in Costa Mesa have a full back yard, ours do not. Because of the zero property line, instead of a fence between us and our neighbor, we have a 10 foot wall which is actually the exterior side of our neighbor's house. If the sound wall is moved in this will create a dry hot terrarium-like environment due to the close proximity of the houses in this area.
 - a. I understand that all structures will be physically within the freeway right of way. However, have there been sufficient studies to discover if there is any potential for damage to my house? There will be active construction and pile driving less than 15 feet from the actual structure of my home. I have heard from neighbors that when the last sound wall was built several people experienced structural damage and cracked foundations. At the June 19th Costa Mesa City Council Meeting a resident living near the Fairview Bridge experienced foundation issues when the bridge was re-built.
 - b. It was explained to me at the Fountain Valley Hearing that due to the height of the retaining wall, part of the footer would actually have to come in to my property. Will the foundation of my house have to be reinforced? If a pool is put in too close, the foundation of the house needs to be reinforced; I can only imagine that would apply to supporting a freeway, too.
 - c. Is it safe to have a sound wall/retaining wall 15 to 16 feet from the physical building? My children's rooms are at that end of the house and will be the 15 to 16 feet from the sound wall.
 - d. With the widening of the freeway and a 15 foot retaining wall being put in, that will literally put the freeway above my house. Have there been studies done for the safety to the residents in this situation? What level of impact will be protected from penetration by the final Retaining Wall/Sound Wall? If, for example, a truck was to crash through the sound wall as planned, it will only have 15 feet to travel before hitting my house. Since the wall is now closer to my house by 22 ft. and several feet above my house, the trajectory of travel with no contact with the ground will most likely cause the truck to land on top of my home.
 - e. Is there a code or pre-determining distance a sound wall/retaining wall can be from a house? Does the City's or State Building code dictate how far a house must be from such a structure?
 - f. The property line walls built are not very solid. They will probably not hold up to the adjacent construction.

Maintenance:

1. Who will be responsible for maintaining the leftover 5-6 feet of right-of-way? It has yet to be maintained for the last 10 months we've owned our home. We were constantly inspecting it for the 9 months prior to purchasing it and there was no maintenance during that time. According to the neighbors it has been several years since it has been maintained. If it is this unimportant to maintain at approximately 27 feet, how can we expect the 5-6 feet to be maintained? Most equipment won't fit an area of that size.

Construction:

- What hours and days of the week will construction occur? Our neighbor, who was living in his
 house on Nevada Ave. when the current sound wall was built, said that construction most of the
 time was around the clock. Large lights were brought in to do work at night and there were
 several sleepless nights.
- 2. How long is the construction from Moon Park to Harbor Blvd. expected to last?
- 3. We just bought our house and unfortunately this expansion was not disclosed. We still do not have blinds on most of our sliding glass doors. Will we lose all privacy? Will privacy screening be provided?
- 4. What pre-cautions will be taken during the period that access will be needed to my property?
 - a. How long will construction that specifically needs access to my property last for?
 - b. How long will I need to tell my children they cannot play outside for?
 - c. Will my backyard/house be exposed to the freeway? Will motorists be able to see us?
 - d. Will there be tarps or will temporary fencing be provided?
 - e. How will my home and yard be protected from potential criminals?
 - f. How will my property be protected from snakes and other dangerous pests disrupted due to the construction?
- 5. Children's lungs are still developing into their teens. Who do I call if my children develop lung/breathing issues? What remedies will be provided to protect them against breathing the dusty and polluted air during construction?
- 6. If you need construction access to my property, how far into my property will your activities impact?

Compensation:

- 1. What kind of compensation can we expect from a project like this?
 - a. How will we be compensated for our homes decrease in value?
 - b. What will be done to mitigate the extra heat that will be not only generated from the wall itself that will be 22 feet closer to our home, but also from the reduced airflow that we'll experience due to the reduced cross sectional area of free wind movement.
 - c. Will there be new, tall landscape to act as screening and heat deflection? If so, will we have a say in what is chosen?

- d. How will we be compensated for the new view of a 27-foot wall in our yard? Will there be mature and tall landscaping installed to camouflage the wall? If so, will we have a say in what is chosen?
- e. The property line walls built are not very solid. They will probably not hold up to the adjacent construction. If they are damaged, will our property walls get replaced? Who will pay for them? Will we have to find our own contractor to do this?
- f. We have planter boxes with irrigation and landscape against our back wall. How will this get replaced, repaired, etc.? We also have large juniper trees; will those get moved or replaced?
- g. If the property line wall is removed and it affects the planter boxes, it will affect the concrete, as well. If the concrete needs to be repaired, will all of it in our backyard be replaced so it matches?
- h. How will we be compensated for any resulting damage to our house? What if we experience cracks in our foundation? What if it causes our house to sink toward the freeway? What if we get cracks in our walls?
- i. What mitigation measures will be used to minimize the construction dirt, dust, noise and what standard will be used to determine the efficiency of those measures?
- j. How will we be compensated for the increase in dust, dirt, and pollen? Will there be a domestic cleaning crew hired to help homeowners with the extra cleaning requirements caused by your construction?
 - i. Who will be responsible if my young children develop asthma, breathing problems, or other medical issues? Who do I call, specifically?
- k. My husband drives for a living and it is a safety issue for him and the public that he gets ample sleep. Can we be guaranteed no night disturbances? If he needs to call in sick due to night construction how will we be compensated?
- I. What is the potential for temporary relocation?

I implore upon you to please look deeper into another alternative for the reconfiguration of the Ellis onramp that will not affect the Residents.